$\S3.5$ Cyclic Groups

Shaoyun Yi

MATH 546/701I

University of South Carolina

June 1-2, 2020

- well-defined
- one-to-one and onto

- well-defined
- one-to-one and onto
 - Direct proof

- well-defined
- one-to-one and onto
 - Direct proof
 - Find its inverse function ϕ^{-1} : $\phi^{-1}\phi = 1_{G_1}, \phi\phi^{-1} = 1_{G_2}$

- well-defined
- one-to-one and onto
 - Direct proof
 - Find its inverse function ϕ^{-1} : $\phi^{-1}\phi = 1_{G_1}, \phi\phi^{-1} = 1_{G_2}$
 - If ϕ preserves the products, then ϕ is one-to-one if and only if $\phi(x) = e_2$ implies $x = e_1$, for all $x \in G_1$.

- well-defined
- one-to-one and onto
 - Direct proof
 - Find its inverse function ϕ^{-1} : $\phi^{-1}\phi = 1_{G_1}, \phi\phi^{-1} = 1_{G_2}$
 - If ϕ preserves the products, then ϕ is one-to-one if and only if $\phi(x) = e_2$ implies $x = e_1$, for all $x \in G_1$.
 - If $|G_1| = |G_2| < \infty$, then any one-to-one mapping must be onto.

- well-defined
- one-to-one and onto
 - Direct proof
 - Find its inverse function ϕ^{-1} : $\phi^{-1}\phi = 1_{G_1}, \phi\phi^{-1} = 1_{G_2}$
 - If ϕ preserves the products, then ϕ is one-to-one if and only if $\phi(x) = e_2$ implies $x = e_1$, for all $x \in G_1$.
 - If $|G_1| = |G_2| < \infty$, then any one-to-one mapping must be onto.
- respects the two operations: $\phi(a * b) = \phi(a) \cdot \phi(b)$

- well-defined
- one-to-one and onto
 - Direct proof
 - Find its inverse function ϕ^{-1} : $\phi^{-1}\phi = 1_{G_1}, \phi\phi^{-1} = 1_{G_2}$
 - If ϕ preserves the products, then ϕ is one-to-one if and only if $\phi(x) = e_2$ implies $x = e_1$, for all $x \in G_1$.
 - If $|G_1| = |G_2| < \infty$, then any one-to-one mapping must be onto.
- respects the two operations: $\phi(a * b) = \phi(a) \cdot \phi(b)$
- $\phi(a^n) = (\phi(a))^n$ for all $a \in G_1$ and all $n \in \mathbf{Z}$.

- well-defined
- one-to-one and onto
 - Direct proof
 - Find its inverse function ϕ^{-1} : $\phi^{-1}\phi = 1_{G_1}, \phi\phi^{-1} = 1_{G_2}$
 - If ϕ preserves the products, then ϕ is one-to-one if and only if $\phi(x) = e_2$ implies $x = e_1$, for all $x \in G_1$.
 - If $|G_1| = |G_2| < \infty$, then any one-to-one mapping must be onto.
- respects the two operations: $\phi(a * b) = \phi(a) \cdot \phi(b)$
- $\phi(a^n) = (\phi(a))^n$ for all $a \in G_1$ and all $n \in \mathbf{Z}$.

•
$$n = 0: \phi(e_1) = e_2$$

- well-defined
- one-to-one and onto
 - Direct proof
 - Find its inverse function ϕ^{-1} : $\phi^{-1}\phi = 1_{G_1}, \phi\phi^{-1} = 1_{G_2}$
 - If ϕ preserves the products, then ϕ is one-to-one if and only if $\phi(x) = e_2$ implies $x = e_1$, for all $x \in G_1$.
 - If $|G_1| = |G_2| < \infty$, then any one-to-one mapping must be onto.
- respects the two operations: $\phi(a * b) = \phi(a) \cdot \phi(b)$
- $\phi(a^n) = (\phi(a))^n$ for all $a \in G_1$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

•
$$n = 0$$
: $\phi(e_1) = e_2$

•
$$n = -1$$
: $\phi(a^{-1}) = (\phi(a))^{-1}$

- well-defined
- one-to-one and onto
 - Direct proof
 - Find its inverse function ϕ^{-1} : $\phi^{-1}\phi = 1_{G_1}, \phi\phi^{-1} = 1_{G_2}$
 - If ϕ preserves the products, then ϕ is one-to-one if and only if $\phi(x) = e_2$ implies $x = e_1$, for all $x \in G_1$.
 - If $|G_1| = |G_2| < \infty$, then any one-to-one mapping must be onto.
- respects the two operations: $\phi(a * b) = \phi(a) \cdot \phi(b)$
- $\phi(a^n) = (\phi(a))^n$ for all $a \in G_1$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

•
$$n = 0$$
: $\phi(e_1) = e_2$
• $n = -1$: $\phi(a^{-1}) = (\phi(a))^{-1}$

If
$$\phi, \psi$$
 are two group isomorphisms, then so are ϕ^{-1} and $\psi \circ \phi$.

(G₁,*) ≅ (G₂,·): A group isomorphism φ : G₁ → G₂ satisfies well-defined

- well-defined
- one-to-one and onto
 - Direct proof
 - Find its inverse function ϕ^{-1} : $\phi^{-1}\phi = 1_{G_1}, \phi\phi^{-1} = 1_{G_2}$
 - If ϕ preserves the products, then ϕ is one-to-one if and only if $\phi(x) = e_2$ implies $x = e_1$, for all $x \in G_1$.
 - If $|G_1| = |G_2| < \infty$, then any one-to-one mapping must be onto.
- respects the two operations: $\phi(a * b) = \phi(a) \cdot \phi(b)$
- $\phi(a^n) = (\phi(a))^n$ for all $a \in G_1$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

•
$$n = 0: \phi(e_1) = e_2$$

•
$$n = -1$$
: $\phi(a^{-1}) = (\phi(a))^{-1}$

- If ϕ, ψ are two group isomorphisms, then so are ϕ^{-1} and $\psi \circ \phi$.
- Several structural properties preserved by group isomorphisms

Yi

• $(G_1, *) \cong (G_2, \cdot)$: A group isomorphism $\phi : G_1 \to G_2$ satisfies • well-defined

- one-to-one and onto
 - Direct proof
 - Find its inverse function ϕ^{-1} : $\phi^{-1}\phi = 1_{G_1}, \phi\phi^{-1} = 1_{G_2}$
 - If ϕ preserves the products, then ϕ is one-to-one if and only if $\phi(x) = e_2$ implies $x = e_1$, for all $x \in G_1$.
 - If $|G_1| = |G_2| < \infty$, then any one-to-one mapping must be onto.
- respects the two operations: $\phi(a * b) = \phi(a) \cdot \phi(b)$
- $\phi(a^n) = (\phi(a))^n$ for all $a \in G_1$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

•
$$n = 0$$
: $\phi(e_1) = e_2$
• $n = -1$: $\phi(a^{-1}) = (\phi(a))^{-1}$

• If ϕ, ψ are two group isomorphisms, then so are ϕ^{-1} and $\psi \circ \phi$.

- Several structural properties preserved by group isomorphisms
 - If o(a) = n in G_1 , then $o(\phi(a)) = n$ in G_2 .

(G₁,*) ≅ (G₂,·): A group isomorphism φ : G₁ → G₂ satisfies well-defined

- one-to-one and onto
 - Direct proof
 - Find its inverse function ϕ^{-1} : $\phi^{-1}\phi = 1_{G_1}, \phi\phi^{-1} = 1_{G_2}$
 - If ϕ preserves the products, then ϕ is one-to-one if and only if $\phi(x) = e_2$ implies $x = e_1$, for all $x \in G_1$.
 - If $|G_1| = |G_2| < \infty$, then any one-to-one mapping must be onto.
- respects the two operations: $\phi(a * b) = \phi(a) \cdot \phi(b)$

•
$$\phi(a^n)=(\phi(a))^n$$
 for all $a\in G_1$ and all $n\in {f Z}.$

•
$$n = 0$$
: $\phi(e_1) = e_2$
• $n = -1$: $\phi(a^{-1}) = (\phi(a))^{-1}$

• If ϕ, ψ are two group isomorphisms, then so are ϕ^{-1} and $\psi \circ \phi$.

• Several structural properties preserved by group isomorphisms

• If
$$o(a) = n$$
 in G_1 , then $o(\phi(a)) = n$ in G_2 .

• If G_1 is abelian, then so is G_2 .

(G₁,*) ≅ (G₂,·): A group isomorphism φ : G₁ → G₂ satisfies well-defined

- one-to-one and onto
 - Direct proof
 - Find its inverse function ϕ^{-1} : $\phi^{-1}\phi = 1_{G_1}, \phi\phi^{-1} = 1_{G_2}$
 - If ϕ preserves the products, then ϕ is one-to-one if and only if $\phi(x) = e_2$ implies $x = e_1$, for all $x \in G_1$.
 - If $|G_1| = |G_2| < \infty$, then any one-to-one mapping must be onto.
- respects the two operations: $\phi(a * b) = \phi(a) \cdot \phi(b)$

•
$$\phi(a^n)=(\phi(a))^n$$
 for all $a\in G_1$ and all $n\in {\sf Z}$.

•
$$n = 0$$
: $\phi(e_1) = e_2$
• $n = -1$: $\phi(a^{-1}) = (\phi(a))^{-1}$

• If ϕ, ψ are two group isomorphisms, then so are ϕ^{-1} and $\psi \circ \phi$.

- Several structural properties preserved by group isomorphisms
 - If o(a) = n in G_1 , then $o(\phi(a)) = n$ in G_2 .
 - If G_1 is abelian, then so is G_2 .
 - If G_1 is cyclic, then so is G_2 .

(G₁,*) ≅ (G₂,·): A group isomorphism φ : G₁ → G₂ satisfies well-defined

- weil-defined
- one-to-one and onto
 - Direct proof
 - Find its inverse function ϕ^{-1} : $\phi^{-1}\phi = 1_{G_1}, \phi\phi^{-1} = 1_{G_2}$
 - If ϕ preserves the products, then ϕ is one-to-one if and only if $\phi(x) = e_2$ implies $x = e_1$, for all $x \in G_1$.
 - If $|G_1| = |G_2| < \infty$, then any one-to-one mapping must be onto.
- respects the two operations: $\phi(a * b) = \phi(a) \cdot \phi(b)$

•
$$\phi(a^n)=(\phi(a))^n$$
 for all $a\in G_1$ and all $n\in {\sf Z}.$

•
$$n = 0$$
: $\phi(e_1) = e_2$
• $n = -1$: $\phi(a^{-1}) = (\phi(a))^{-1}$

• If ϕ, ψ are two group isomorphisms, then so are ϕ^{-1} and $\psi \circ \phi$.

- Several structural properties preserved by group isomorphisms
 - If o(a) = n in G_1 , then $o(\phi(a)) = n$ in G_2 .
 - If G_1 is abelian, then so is G_2 .
 - If G_1 is cyclic, then so is G_2 .

• $\mathbf{Z}_{mn} \cong \mathbf{Z}_m \times \mathbf{Z}_n$ if gcd(m, n) = 1.

Theorem 1

Theorem 1

Every subgroup of a cyclic group G is cyclic.

Proof.

Let *a* be a generator of *G*. So $G = \langle a \rangle$. Let *H* be any subgroup of *G*.

Theorem 1

Every subgroup of a cyclic group G is cyclic.

Proof.

Let *a* be a generator of *G*. So $G = \langle a \rangle$. Let *H* be any subgroup of *G*.

• If H is the trivial subgroup consisting only of e, then $H = \langle e \rangle$. \checkmark (Why?)

Theorem 1

Every subgroup of a cyclic group G is cyclic.

Proof.

Let a be a generator of G. So $G = \langle a \rangle$. Let H be any subgroup of G.

- If H is the trivial subgroup consisting only of e, then $H = \langle e \rangle$. \checkmark (Why?)
- If H is nontrivial, then it contains $b \neq e$. So $b = a^n$ for some n. (Why?)

Theorem 1

Every subgroup of a cyclic group G is cyclic.

Proof.

Let *a* be a generator of *G*. So $G = \langle a \rangle$. Let *H* be any subgroup of *G*.

• If *H* is the trivial subgroup consisting only of *e*, then $H = \langle e \rangle$. \checkmark (Why?) • If *H* is nontrivial, then it contains $b \neq e$. So $b = a^n$ for some *n*. (Why?) Since $a^{-n} = (a^n)^{-1}$ must also belong to *H*, (Why?)

Theorem 1

Every subgroup of a cyclic group G is cyclic.

Proof.

Let *a* be a generator of *G*. So $G = \langle a \rangle$. Let *H* be any subgroup of *G*.

• If *H* is the trivial subgroup consisting only of *e*, then $H = \langle e \rangle$. \checkmark (Why?) • If *H* is nontrivial, then it contains $b \neq e$. So $b = a^n$ for some *n*. (Why?) Since $a^{-n} = (a^n)^{-1}$ must also belong to *H*, (Why?) we can assume that *H* contains some power a^k with k > 0.

Theorem 1

Every subgroup of a cyclic group G is cyclic.

Proof.

Let *a* be a generator of *G*. So $G = \langle a \rangle$. Let *H* be any subgroup of *G*. • If *H* is the trivial subgroup consisting only of *e*, then $H = \langle e \rangle$. \checkmark (Why?) • If *H* is nontrivial, then it contains $b \neq e$. So $b = a^n$ for some *n*. (Why?) Since $a^{-n} = (a^n)^{-1}$ must also belong to *H*, (Why?) we can assume that *H* contains some power a^k with k > 0. Let *m* be the smallest positive integer such that $a^m \in H$.

Theorem 1

Every subgroup of a cyclic group G is cyclic.

Proof.

Let *a* be a generator of *G*. So $G = \langle a \rangle$. Let *H* be any subgroup of *G*. • If *H* is the trivial subgroup consisting only of *e*, then $H = \langle e \rangle$. \checkmark (Why?) • If *H* is nontrivial, then it contains $b \neq e$. So $b = a^n$ for some *n*. (Why?) Since $a^{-n} = (a^n)^{-1}$ must also belong to *H*, (Why?) we can assume that *H* contains some power a^k with k > 0. Let *m* be the smallest positive integer such that $a^m \in H$. Claim: $H = \langle a^m \rangle$. $\langle a^m \rangle \subseteq H$:

Theorem 1

Every subgroup of a cyclic group G is cyclic.

Proof.

Let *a* be a generator of *G*. So $G = \langle a \rangle$. Let *H* be any subgroup of *G*. • If *H* is the trivial subgroup consisting only of *e*, then $H = \langle e \rangle$. \checkmark (Why?) • If *H* is nontrivial, then it contains $b \neq e$. So $b = a^n$ for some *n*. (Why?) Since $a^{-n} = (a^n)^{-1}$ must also belong to *H*, (Why?) we can assume that *H* contains some power a^k with k > 0. Let *m* be the smallest positive integer such that $a^m \in H$. Claim: $H = \langle a^m \rangle$. $\langle a^m \rangle \subseteq H$: It is clear since $a^m \in H$. (Why?) [

Theorem 1

Every subgroup of a cyclic group G is cyclic.

Proof.

Let *a* be a generator of *G*. So $G = \langle a \rangle$. Let *H* be any subgroup of *G*. • If *H* is the trivial subgroup consisting only of *e*, then $H = \langle e \rangle$. \checkmark (Why?) • If *H* is nontrivial, then it contains $b \neq e$. So $b = a^n$ for some *n*. (Why?) Since $a^{-n} = (a^n)^{-1}$ must also belong to *H*, (Why?) we can assume that *H* contains some power a^k with k > 0. Let *m* be the smallest positive integer such that $a^m \in H$. Claim: $H = \langle a^m \rangle$. $\langle a^m \rangle \subseteq H$: It is clear since $a^m \in H$. (Why?) [Proposition 2 (b) in §3.2] $H \subseteq \langle a^m \rangle$:

Theorem 1

Every subgroup of a cyclic group G is cyclic.

Proof.

Let *a* be a generator of *G*. So $G = \langle a \rangle$. Let *H* be any subgroup of *G*. • If *H* is the trivial subgroup consisting only of *e*, then $H = \langle e \rangle$. \checkmark (Why?) • If *H* is nontrivial, then it contains $b \neq e$. So $b = a^n$ for some *n*. (Why?) Since $a^{-n} = (a^n)^{-1}$ must also belong to *H*, (Why?) we can assume that *H* contains some power a^k with k > 0. Let *m* be the smallest positive integer such that $a^m \in H$. Claim: $H = \langle a^m \rangle$. $\langle a^m \rangle \subseteq H$: It is clear since $a^m \in H$. (Why?) [Proposition 2 (b) in §3.2] $H \subseteq \langle a^m \rangle$: Let $x \in H$. Then we have $x = a^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. (Why?)

Theorem 1

Every subgroup of a cyclic group G is cyclic.

Proof.

Let *a* be a generator of *G*. So $G = \langle a \rangle$. Let *H* be any subgroup of *G*. • If *H* is the trivial subgroup consisting only of *e*, then $H = \langle e \rangle$. \checkmark (Why?) • If *H* is nontrivial, then it contains $b \neq e$. So $b = a^n$ for some *n*. (Why?) Since $a^{-n} = (a^n)^{-1}$ must also belong to *H*, (Why?) we can assume that *H* contains some power a^k with k > 0. Let *m* be the smallest positive integer such that $a^m \in H$. Claim: $H = \langle a^m \rangle$. $\langle a^m \rangle \subseteq H$: It is clear since $a^m \in H$. (Why?) [Proposition 2 (b) in §3.2] $H \subseteq \langle a^m \rangle$: Let $x \in H$. Then we have $x = a^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. (Why?) Write k = mq + r for $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \leq r < m$.

Theorem 1

Every subgroup of a cyclic group G is cyclic.

Proof.

Let *a* be a generator of *G*. So $G = \langle a \rangle$. Let *H* be any subgroup of *G*. • If *H* is the trivial subgroup consisting only of *e*, then $H = \langle e \rangle$. \checkmark (Why?) • If *H* is nontrivial, then it contains $b \neq e$. So $b = a^n$ for some *n*. (Why?) Since $a^{-n} = (a^n)^{-1}$ must also belong to *H*, (Why?) we can assume that *H* contains some power a^k with k > 0. Let *m* be the smallest positive integer such that $a^m \in H$. Claim: $H = \langle a^m \rangle$. $\langle a^m \rangle \subseteq H$: It is clear since $a^m \in H$. (Why?) [Proposition 2 (b) in §3.2] $H \subseteq \langle a^m \rangle$: Let $x \in H$. Then we have $x = a^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. (Why?) Write k = mq + r for $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \leq r < m$. To show r = 0. (Why?)

$$x = a^k$$

Theorem 1

Every subgroup of a cyclic group G is cyclic.

Proof.

Let *a* be a generator of *G*. So $G = \langle a \rangle$. Let *H* be any subgroup of *G*. • If *H* is the trivial subgroup consisting only of *e*, then $H = \langle e \rangle$. \checkmark (Why?) • If *H* is nontrivial, then it contains $b \neq e$. So $b = a^n$ for some *n*. (Why?) Since $a^{-n} = (a^n)^{-1}$ must also belong to *H*, (Why?) we can assume that *H* contains some power a^k with k > 0. Let *m* be the smallest positive integer such that $a^m \in H$. Claim: $H = \langle a^m \rangle$. $\langle a^m \rangle \subseteq H$: It is clear since $a^m \in H$. (Why?) [Proposition 2 (b) in §3.2] $H \subseteq \langle a^m \rangle$: Let $x \in H$. Then we have $x = a^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. (Why?) Write k = mq + r for $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \leq r < m$. To show r = 0. (Why?)

$$\mathbf{x} = a^k = a^{mq+r} = (a^m)^q a^r \Rightarrow a^r \in H ext{ (Why?)} \Rightarrow a^r$$

Theorem 1

Every subgroup of a cyclic group G is cyclic.

Proof.

Let *a* be a generator of *G*. So $G = \langle a \rangle$. Let *H* be any subgroup of *G*. • If *H* is the trivial subgroup consisting only of *e*, then $H = \langle e \rangle$. \checkmark (Why?) • If *H* is nontrivial, then it contains $b \neq e$. So $b = a^n$ for some *n*. (Why?) Since $a^{-n} = (a^n)^{-1}$ must also belong to *H*, (Why?) we can assume that *H* contains some power a^k with k > 0. Let *m* be the smallest positive integer such that $a^m \in H$. Claim: $H = \langle a^m \rangle$. $\langle a^m \rangle \subseteq H$: It is clear since $a^m \in H$. (Why?) [Proposition 2 (b) in §3.2] $H \subseteq \langle a^m \rangle$: Let $x \in H$. Then we have $x = a^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. (Why?) Write k = mq + r for $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \leq r < m$. To show r = 0. (Why?)

 $x = a^k = a^{mq+r} = (a^m)^q a^r \Rightarrow a^r \in H \text{ (Why?)} \Rightarrow r = 0 \text{ (Why?)}$

Theorem 1

Every subgroup of a cyclic group G is cyclic.

Proof.

Let *a* be a generator of *G*. So $G = \langle a \rangle$. Let *H* be any subgroup of *G*. • If *H* is the trivial subgroup consisting only of *e*, then $H = \langle e \rangle$. \checkmark (Why?) • If *H* is nontrivial, then it contains $b \neq e$. So $b = a^n$ for some *n*. (Why?) Since $a^{-n} = (a^n)^{-1}$ must also belong to *H*, (Why?) we can assume that *H* contains some power a^k with k > 0. Let *m* be the smallest positive integer such that $a^m \in H$. Claim: $H = \langle a^m \rangle$. $\langle a^m \rangle \subseteq H$: It is clear since $a^m \in H$. (Why?) [Proposition 2 (b) in §3.2] $H \subseteq \langle a^m \rangle$: Let $x \in H$. Then we have $x = a^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. (Why?) Write k = mq + r for $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \leq r < m$. To show r = 0. (Why?)

$$x = a^k = a^{mq+r} = (a^m)^q a^r \Rightarrow a^r \in H \text{ (Why?)} \Rightarrow r = 0 \text{ (Why?)}$$

Thus $x = (a^m)^q \in \langle a^m \rangle$.

Theorem 1

Every subgroup of a cyclic group G is cyclic.

Proof.

Let *a* be a generator of *G*. So $G = \langle a \rangle$. Let *H* be any subgroup of *G*. • If *H* is the trivial subgroup consisting only of *e*, then $H = \langle e \rangle$. \checkmark (Why?) • If *H* is nontrivial, then it contains $b \neq e$. So $b = a^n$ for some *n*. (Why?) Since $a^{-n} = (a^n)^{-1}$ must also belong to *H*, (Why?) we can assume that *H* contains some power a^k with k > 0. Let *m* be the smallest positive integer such that $a^m \in H$. Claim: $H = \langle a^m \rangle$. $\langle a^m \rangle \subseteq H$: It is clear since $a^m \in H$. (Why?) [Proposition 2 (b) in §3.2] $H \subseteq \langle a^m \rangle$: Let $x \in H$. Then we have $x = a^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. (Why?) Write k = mq + r for $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \leq r < m$. To show r = 0. (Why?)

$$\kappa = a^k = a^{mq+r} = (a^m)^q a^r \Rightarrow a^r \in H \text{ (Why?)} \Rightarrow r = 0 \text{ (Why?)}$$

Thus $x = (a^m)^q \in \langle a^m \rangle$. In conclusion, $H = \langle a^m \rangle$ and so H is cyclic.

Second Theorem

Theorem 2 (Let G be a cyclic group)
Theorem 2 (Let G be a cyclic group)

(a) If G is infinite, then $G \cong \mathbf{Z}$.

Theorem 2 (Let G be a cyclic group)

(a) If G is infinite, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}$. (b) If |G| = n, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$.

(a) Let $G = \langle a \rangle$ be an infinite cyclic group.

Theorem 2 (Let G be a cyclic group)

(a) If G is infinite, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}$. (b) If |G| = n, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$.

(a) Let $G = \langle a \rangle$ be an infinite cyclic group. Define $\phi : \mathbf{Z} \to G$

Theorem 2 (Let G be a cyclic group)

(a) If G is infinite, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}$. (b) If |G| = n, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$.

- well-defined: Trivial
- one-to-one:

Theorem 2 (Let G be a cyclic group)

(a) If G is infinite, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}$. (b) If |G| = n, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$.

- well-defined: Trivial
- one-to-one: By Proposition 3 (a) in §3.2, $\phi(m) \neq \phi(k)$ for $m \neq k$.
- onto:

Theorem 2 (Let G be a cyclic group)

(a) If G is infinite, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}$. (b) If |G| = n, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$.

- well-defined: Trivial
- one-to-one: By Proposition 3 (a) in §3.2, $\phi(m) \neq \phi(k)$ for $m \neq k$.
- onto: Since $G = \langle a \rangle$.
- respects the two operations:

Theorem 2 (Let G be a cyclic group)

(a) If G is infinite, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}$. (b) If |G| = n, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$.

- well-defined: Trivial
- one-to-one: By Proposition 3 (a) in §3.2, $\phi(m) \neq \phi(k)$ for $m \neq k$.
- onto: Since $G = \langle a \rangle$.
- respects the two operations: φ(m + k) = a^{m+k} = a^ma^k = φ(m)φ(k).

Theorem 2 (Let G be a cyclic group)

(a) If G is infinite, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}$. (b) If |G| = n, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$.

(a) Let $G = \langle a \rangle$ be an infinite cyclic group. Define $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \to G$ by $\phi(m) = a^m$, for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

- well-defined: Trivial
- one-to-one: By Proposition 3 (a) in §3.2, $\phi(m) \neq \phi(k)$ for $m \neq k$.
- onto: Since $G = \langle a \rangle$.
- respects the two operations: φ(m + k) = a^{m+k} = a^ma^k = φ(m)φ(k).

Thus, ϕ is an isomorphism.

(b) Let $G = \langle a \rangle$ be a finite cyclic group with *n* elements.

Theorem 2 (Let G be a cyclic group)

(a) If G is infinite, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}$. (b) If |G| = n, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$.

(a) Let $G = \langle a \rangle$ be an infinite cyclic group. Define $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \to G$ by $\phi(m) = a^m$, for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

- well-defined: Trivial
- one-to-one: By Proposition 3 (a) in §3.2, $\phi(m) \neq \phi(k)$ for $m \neq k$.
- onto: Since $G = \langle a \rangle$.
- respects the two operations: φ(m + k) = a^{m+k} = a^ma^k = φ(m)φ(k).

Thus, ϕ is an isomorphism.

(b) Let $G = \langle a \rangle$ be a finite cyclic group with *n* elements. Define $\phi : \mathbf{Z}_n \to G$ by $\phi([m]) = a^m$, for all $[m] \in \mathbf{Z}_n$.

Theorem 2 (Let G be a cyclic group)

(a) If G is infinite, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}$. (b) If |G| = n, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$.

(a) Let $G = \langle a \rangle$ be an infinite cyclic group. Define $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \to G$ by $\phi(m) = a^m$, for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

- well-defined: Trivial
- one-to-one: By Proposition 3 (a) in §3.2, $\phi(m) \neq \phi(k)$ for $m \neq k$.
- onto: Since $G = \langle a \rangle$.
- respects the two operations: φ(m + k) = a^{m+k} = a^ma^k = φ(m)φ(k).

Thus, ϕ is an isomorphism.

(b) Let $G = \langle a \rangle$ be a finite cyclic group with *n* elements. Define $\phi : \mathbf{Z}_n \to G$ by $\phi([m]) = a^m$, for all $[m] \in \mathbf{Z}_n$.

Goal: To show ϕ is an isomorphism.

Define $\phi : \mathbf{Z}_n \to G$ by $\phi([m]) = a^m$, for all $[m] \in \mathbf{Z}_n$. • well-defined:

Define $\phi : \mathbf{Z}_n \to G$ by $\phi([m]) = a^m$, for all $[m] \in \mathbf{Z}_n$.

- well-defined: If [k] = [m], i.e., $k \equiv m \pmod{n}$, then $a^k = a^m$. (Why?)
- one-to-one:

Define $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_n \to G$ by $\phi([m]) = a^m$, for all $[m] \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. • well-defined: If [k] = [m], i.e., $k \equiv m \pmod{n}$, then $a^k = a^m$. (Why?) • one-to-one: If $\phi([k]) = \phi([m])$, then [k] = [m]. (Why?) [

Define $\phi : \mathbf{Z}_n \to G$ by $\phi([m]) = a^m$, for all $[m] \in \mathbf{Z}_n$.

- well-defined: If [k] = [m], i.e., $k \equiv m \pmod{n}$, then $a^k = a^m$. (Why?)
- one-to-one: If $\phi([k]) = \phi([m])$, then [k] = [m]. (Why?) [Same with \uparrow]
- onto:

Define $\phi : \mathbf{Z}_n \to G$ by $\phi([m]) = a^m$, for all $[m] \in \mathbf{Z}_n$.

- well-defined: If [k] = [m], i.e., $k \equiv m \pmod{n}$, then $a^k = a^m$. (Why?)
- one-to-one: If $\phi([k]) = \phi([m])$, then [k] = [m]. (Why?) [Same with \uparrow]
- onto: Since $G = \langle a \rangle$.
- respects the two operations:

Define
$$\phi : \mathbf{Z}_n \to G$$
 by $\phi([m]) = a^m$, for all $[m] \in \mathbf{Z}_n$.

- well-defined: If [k] = [m], i.e., $k \equiv m \pmod{n}$, then $a^k = a^m$. (Why?)
- one-to-one: If $\phi([k]) = \phi([m])$, then [k] = [m]. (Why?) [Same with \uparrow]
- onto: Since $G = \langle a \rangle$.
- respects the two operations:

 $\phi([m] + [k]) =$

Define
$$\phi : \mathbf{Z}_n \to G$$
 by $\phi([m]) = a^m$, for all $[m] \in \mathbf{Z}_n$.

- well-defined: If [k] = [m], i.e., $k \equiv m \pmod{n}$, then $a^k = a^m$. (Why?)
- one-to-one: If $\phi([k]) = \phi([m])$, then [k] = [m]. (Why?) [Same with \uparrow]
- onto: Since $G = \langle a \rangle$.
- respects the two operations:

 $\phi([m] + [k]) = \phi([m+k]) =$

Define
$$\phi : \mathbf{Z}_n \to G$$
 by $\phi([m]) = a^m$, for all $[m] \in \mathbf{Z}_n$.

- well-defined: If [k] = [m], i.e., $k \equiv m \pmod{n}$, then $a^k = a^m$. (Why?)
- one-to-one: If $\phi([k]) = \phi([m])$, then [k] = [m]. (Why?) [Same with \uparrow]

Cyclic Groups

• onto: Since $G = \langle a \rangle$.

Yi

• respects the two operations:

$$\phi([m] + [k]) = \phi([m + k]) = a^{m+k}$$

Define
$$\phi : \mathbf{Z}_n \to G$$
 by $\phi([m]) = a^m$, for all $[m] \in \mathbf{Z}_n$.

- well-defined: If [k] = [m], i.e., $k \equiv m \pmod{n}$, then $a^k = a^m$. (Why?)
- one-to-one: If $\phi([k]) = \phi([m])$, then [k] = [m]. (Why?) [Same with \uparrow]
- onto: Since $G = \langle a \rangle$.
- respects the two operations:

$$\phi([m] + [k]) = \phi([m + k]) = a^{m+k} = a^m a^k$$

Define $\phi : \mathbf{Z}_n \to G$ by $\phi([m]) = a^m$, for all $[m] \in \mathbf{Z}_n$.

- well-defined: If [k] = [m], i.e., $k \equiv m \pmod{n}$, then $a^k = a^m$. (Why?)
- one-to-one: If $\phi([k]) = \phi([m])$, then [k] = [m]. (Why?) [Same with \uparrow]
- onto: Since $G = \langle a \rangle$.
- respects the two operations:

$$\phi([m] + [k]) = \phi([m + k]) = a^{m+k} = a^m a^k = \phi([m])\phi([k])$$

Define
$$\phi : \mathbf{Z}_n \to G$$
 by $\phi([m]) = a^m$, for all $[m] \in \mathbf{Z}_n$.

- well-defined: If [k] = [m], i.e., $k \equiv m \pmod{n}$, then $a^k = a^m$. (Why?)
- one-to-one: If $\phi([k]) = \phi([m])$, then [k] = [m]. (Why?) [Same with \uparrow]
- onto: Since $G = \langle a \rangle$.
- respects the two operations:

$$\phi([m] + [k]) = \phi([m + k]) = a^{m+k} = a^m a^k = \phi([m])\phi([k])$$

Thus, ϕ is an isomorphism.

Corollary 3

Define $\phi : \mathbf{Z}_n \to G$ by $\phi([m]) = a^m$, for all $[m] \in \mathbf{Z}_n$.

- well-defined: If [k] = [m], i.e., $k \equiv m \pmod{n}$, then $a^k = a^m$. (Why?)
- one-to-one: If $\phi([k]) = \phi([m])$, then [k] = [m]. (Why?) [Same with \uparrow]
- onto: Since $G = \langle a \rangle$.
- respects the two operations:

$$\phi([m] + [k]) = \phi([m + k]) = a^{m+k} = a^m a^k = \phi([m])\phi([k])$$

Thus, ϕ is an isomorphism.

Corollary 3

(a) Any two infinite cyclic groups are isomorphic to each other. (Why?)

Define $\phi : \mathbf{Z}_n \to G$ by $\phi([m]) = a^m$, for all $[m] \in \mathbf{Z}_n$.

- well-defined: If [k] = [m], i.e., $k \equiv m \pmod{n}$, then $a^k = a^m$. (Why?)
- one-to-one: If $\phi([k]) = \phi([m])$, then [k] = [m]. (Why?) [Same with \uparrow]
- onto: Since $G = \langle a \rangle$.
- respects the two operations:

$$\phi([m] + [k]) = \phi([m + k]) = a^{m+k} = a^m a^k = \phi([m])\phi([k])$$

Thus, ϕ is an isomorphism.

Corollary 3

(a) Any two infinite cyclic groups are isomorphic to each other. (Why?)
(b) Two finite cyclic groups are isomorphic ⇔ they have the same order.

The subgroups of ${\bf Z}$

Note 1

Note 1

• The subgroups of **Z** have the form $m\mathbf{Z} = \langle m \rangle$, for $m \in \mathbf{Z}$.

The subgroups of ${\bf Z}$

Note 1

• The subgroups of **Z** have the form $m\mathbf{Z} = \langle m \rangle$, for $m \in \mathbf{Z}$.

• $mZ \subseteq nZ$ if and only if n|m. (Check it!)

Note 1

- The subgroups of **Z** have the form $m\mathbf{Z} = \langle m \rangle$, for $m \in \mathbf{Z}$.
- $mZ \subseteq nZ$ if and only if n|m. (Check it!)
- $m\mathbf{Z} = n\mathbf{Z}$ if and only if $m = \pm n$.

Corollary 4

Note 1

- The subgroups of **Z** have the form $m\mathbf{Z} = \langle m \rangle$, for $m \in \mathbf{Z}$.
- $mZ \subseteq nZ$ if and only if n|m. (Check it!)
- $m\mathbf{Z} = n\mathbf{Z}$ if and only if $m = \pm n$.

Corollary 4

 $m\mathbf{Z}\cong\mathbf{Z}.$ (Why?) [

Note 1

- The subgroups of Z have the form $mZ = \langle m \rangle$, for $m \in Z$.
- $mZ \subseteq nZ$ if and only if n|m. (Check it!)
- $m\mathbf{Z} = n\mathbf{Z}$ if and only if $m = \pm n$.

Corollary 4

 $mZ \cong Z$. (Why?) [mZ is an infinite cyclic group &

Note 1

- The subgroups of Z have the form $mZ = \langle m \rangle$, for $m \in Z$.
- $m\mathbf{Z} \subseteq n\mathbf{Z}$ if and only if n|m. (Check it!)
- $m\mathbf{Z} = n\mathbf{Z}$ if and only if $m = \pm n$.

Corollary 4

 $mZ \cong Z$. (Why?) [mZ is an infinite cyclic group & Theorem 2 (a).]

Remark 1

Note 1

• The subgroups of **Z** have the form $m\mathbf{Z} = \langle m \rangle$, for $m \in \mathbf{Z}$.

- $m\mathbf{Z} \subseteq n\mathbf{Z}$ if and only if n|m. (Check it!)
- $m\mathbf{Z} = n\mathbf{Z}$ if and only if $m = \pm n$.

Corollary 4

 $mZ \cong Z$. (Why?) [mZ is an infinite cyclic group & Theorem 2 (a).]

Remark 1

In the case of infinite groups, it is possible to have a proper subgroup that is isomorphic to the entire group.

Question 1

Note 1

• The subgroups of Z have the form $mZ = \langle m \rangle$, for $m \in Z$.

- $m\mathbf{Z} \subseteq n\mathbf{Z}$ if and only if n|m. (Check it!)
- $m\mathbf{Z} = n\mathbf{Z}$ if and only if $m = \pm n$.

Corollary 4

 $mZ \cong Z$. (Why?) [mZ is an infinite cyclic group & Theorem 2 (a).]

Remark 1

In the case of infinite groups, it is possible to have a proper subgroup that is isomorphic to the entire group.

Question 1

What are all the subgroups of \mathbf{Z}_n ?

[b] = k[m] for some $k \in \mathbf{Z}$

[b] = k[m] for some $k \in \mathbb{Z} \Leftrightarrow mx \equiv b \pmod{n}$ has a solution.

[b] = k[m] for some $k \in \mathbb{Z} \Leftrightarrow mx \equiv b \pmod{n}$ has a solution. $\Leftrightarrow (m, n)|b$.

[b] = k[m] for some $k \in \mathbb{Z} \Leftrightarrow mx \equiv b \pmod{n}$ has a solution. $\Leftrightarrow (m, n)|b$. By Theorem 10 in Chapter 1, there are (m, n) distinct solutions modulo n.
The subgroups of \mathbf{Z}_n

[b] = k[m] for some $k \in \mathbb{Z} \Leftrightarrow mx \equiv b \pmod{n}$ has a solution. $\Leftrightarrow (m, n)|b$. By Theorem 10 in Chapter 1, there are (m, n) distinct solutions modulo n. We also know that every subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_n is cyclic. (Why?)

[b] = k[m] for some $k \in \mathbb{Z} \Leftrightarrow mx \equiv b \pmod{n}$ has a solution. $\Leftrightarrow (m, n)|b$. By Theorem 10 in Chapter 1, there are (m, n) distinct solutions modulo n. We also know that every subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_n is cyclic. (Why?) For each $[k]_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, we obtain the cyclic subgroup $\langle [k]_n \rangle$ generated by $[k]_n$.

Note 2

[b] = k[m] for some $k \in \mathbb{Z} \Leftrightarrow mx \equiv b \pmod{n}$ has a solution. $\Leftrightarrow (m, n)|b$. By Theorem 10 in Chapter 1, there are (m, n) distinct solutions modulo n. We also know that every subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_n is cyclic. (Why?) For each $[k]_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, we obtain the cyclic subgroup $\langle [k]_n \rangle$ generated by $[k]_n$.

Note 2

It is possible to have $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \langle [l]_n \rangle$ for certain choices of $[k]_n, [l]_n \in \mathbf{Z}_n$, so these subgroups are not all distinct.

[b] = k[m] for some $k \in \mathbb{Z} \Leftrightarrow mx \equiv b \pmod{n}$ has a solution. $\Leftrightarrow (m, n)|b$. By Theorem 10 in Chapter 1, there are (m, n) distinct solutions modulo n. We also know that every subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_n is cyclic. (Why?) For each $[k]_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, we obtain the cyclic subgroup $\langle [k]_n \rangle$ generated by $[k]_n$.

Note 2

It is possible to have $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \langle [l]_n \rangle$ for certain choices of $[k]_n, [l]_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, so these subgroups are not all distinct. In \mathbb{Z}_3 , we have $\langle [1]_3 \rangle = \langle [2]_3 \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_3$

Proposition 1 (In additive notation: $G = \mathbf{Z}_n$)

[b] = k[m] for some $k \in \mathbb{Z} \Leftrightarrow mx \equiv b \pmod{n}$ has a solution. $\Leftrightarrow (m, n)|b$. By Theorem 10 in Chapter 1, there are (m, n) distinct solutions modulo n. We also know that every subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_n is cyclic. (Why?) For each $[k]_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, we obtain the cyclic subgroup $\langle [k]_n \rangle$ generated by $[k]_n$.

Note 2

It is possible to have $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \langle [l]_n \rangle$ for certain choices of $[k]_n, [l]_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, so these subgroups are not all distinct. In \mathbb{Z}_3 , we have $\langle [1]_3 \rangle = \langle [2]_3 \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_3$

Proposition 1 (In additive notation: $G = \mathbf{Z}_n$)

Let $d = \gcd(m, n)$. Then $\langle [m]_n \rangle = \langle [d]_n \rangle$. And $|\langle [m]_n \rangle| = |\langle [d]_n \rangle| = n/d$.

Cyclic Groups

 $\langle [m]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d]_n \rangle$:

Yi

[b] = k[m] for some $k \in \mathbb{Z} \Leftrightarrow mx \equiv b \pmod{n}$ has a solution. $\Leftrightarrow (m, n)|b$. By Theorem 10 in Chapter 1, there are (m, n) distinct solutions modulo n. We also know that every subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_n is cyclic. (Why?) For each $[k]_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, we obtain the cyclic subgroup $\langle [k]_n \rangle$ generated by $[k]_n$.

Note 2

It is possible to have $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \langle [l]_n \rangle$ for certain choices of $[k]_n, [l]_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, so these subgroups are not all distinct. In \mathbb{Z}_3 , we have $\langle [1]_3 \rangle = \langle [2]_3 \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_3$

Proposition 1 (In additive notation: $G = \mathbf{Z}_n$)

Let $d = \gcd(m, n)$. Then $\langle [m]_n \rangle = \langle [d]_n \rangle$. And $|\langle [m]_n \rangle| = |\langle [d]_n \rangle| = n/d$.

 $\langle [m]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d]_n \rangle : d | m \Rightarrow [m]_n \in \langle [d]_n \rangle$ (Why?)

[b] = k[m] for some $k \in \mathbb{Z} \Leftrightarrow mx \equiv b \pmod{n}$ has a solution. $\Leftrightarrow (m, n)|b$. By Theorem 10 in Chapter 1, there are (m, n) distinct solutions modulo n. We also know that every subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_n is cyclic. (Why?) For each $[k]_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, we obtain the cyclic subgroup $\langle [k]_n \rangle$ generated by $[k]_n$.

Note 2

It is possible to have $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \langle [l]_n \rangle$ for certain choices of $[k]_n, [l]_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, so these subgroups are not all distinct. In \mathbb{Z}_3 , we have $\langle [1]_3 \rangle = \langle [2]_3 \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_3$

Proposition 1 (In additive notation: $G = \mathbf{Z}_n$)

Let $d = \gcd(m, n)$. Then $\langle [m]_n \rangle = \langle [d]_n \rangle$. And $|\langle [m]_n \rangle| = |\langle [d]_n \rangle| = n/d$.

 $\langle [m]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d]_n \rangle$: $d | m \Rightarrow [m]_n \in \langle [d]_n \rangle$ (Why?) $\Rightarrow \langle [m]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d]_n \rangle$ (Why?) $\langle [d]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [m]_n \rangle$:

Cyclic Groups

Yi

[b] = k[m] for some $k \in \mathbb{Z} \Leftrightarrow mx \equiv b \pmod{n}$ has a solution. $\Leftrightarrow (m, n)|b$. By Theorem 10 in Chapter 1, there are (m, n) distinct solutions modulo n. We also know that every subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_n is cyclic. (Why?) For each $[k]_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, we obtain the cyclic subgroup $\langle [k]_n \rangle$ generated by $[k]_n$.

Note 2

It is possible to have $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \langle [l]_n \rangle$ for certain choices of $[k]_n, [l]_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, so these subgroups are not all distinct. In \mathbb{Z}_3 , we have $\langle [1]_3 \rangle = \langle [2]_3 \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_3$

Proposition 1 (In additive notation: $G = \mathbf{Z}_n$)

Let $d = \gcd(m, n)$. Then $\langle [m]_n \rangle = \langle [d]_n \rangle$. And $|\langle [m]_n \rangle| = |\langle [d]_n \rangle| = n/d$.

 $\langle [m]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d]_n \rangle$: $d \mid m \Rightarrow [m]_n \in \langle [d]_n \rangle$ (Why?) $\Rightarrow \langle [m]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d]_n \rangle$ (Why?) $\langle [d]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [m]_n \rangle$: d = sm + tn for some $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$. (Why?)

[b] = k[m] for some $k \in \mathbb{Z} \Leftrightarrow mx \equiv b \pmod{n}$ has a solution. $\Leftrightarrow (m, n)|b$. By Theorem 10 in Chapter 1, there are (m, n) distinct solutions modulo n. We also know that every subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_n is cyclic. (Why?) For each $[k]_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, we obtain the cyclic subgroup $\langle [k]_n \rangle$ generated by $[k]_n$.

Note 2

It is possible to have $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \langle [l]_n \rangle$ for certain choices of $[k]_n, [l]_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, so these subgroups are not all distinct. In \mathbb{Z}_3 , we have $\langle [1]_3 \rangle = \langle [2]_3 \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_3$

Proposition 1 (In additive notation: $G = \mathbf{Z}_n$)

Let $d = \gcd(m, n)$. Then $\langle [m]_n \rangle = \langle [d]_n \rangle$. And $|\langle [m]_n \rangle| = |\langle [d]_n \rangle| = n/d$.

[b] = k[m] for some $k \in \mathbb{Z} \Leftrightarrow mx \equiv b \pmod{n}$ has a solution. $\Leftrightarrow (m, n)|b$. By Theorem 10 in Chapter 1, there are (m, n) distinct solutions modulo n. We also know that every subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_n is cyclic. (Why?) For each $[k]_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, we obtain the cyclic subgroup $\langle [k]_n \rangle$ generated by $[k]_n$.

Note 2

It is possible to have $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \langle [l]_n \rangle$ for certain choices of $[k]_n, [l]_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, so these subgroups are not all distinct. In \mathbb{Z}_3 , we have $\langle [1]_3 \rangle = \langle [2]_3 \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_3$

Proposition 1 (In additive notation: $G = \mathbf{Z}_n$)

Let $d = \gcd(m, n)$. Then $\langle [m]_n \rangle = \langle [d]_n \rangle$. And $|\langle [m]_n \rangle| = |\langle [d]_n \rangle| = n/d$.

 $\langle [m]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d]_n \rangle$: $d|m \Rightarrow [m]_n \in \langle [d]_n \rangle$ (Why?) $\Rightarrow \langle [m]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d]_n \rangle$ (Why?) $\langle [d]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [m]_n \rangle$: d = sm + tn for some $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$. (Why?) $\Rightarrow [d]_n \in \langle [m]_n \rangle$. $|\langle [m]_n \rangle| = n/d$: The order of $[d]_n$ is n/d, and so $[m]_n$ has order n/d. \Box

[b] = k[m] for some $k \in \mathbb{Z} \Leftrightarrow mx \equiv b \pmod{n}$ has a solution. $\Leftrightarrow (m, n)|b$. By Theorem 10 in Chapter 1, there are (m, n) distinct solutions modulo n. We also know that every subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_n is cyclic. (Why?) For each $[k]_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, we obtain the cyclic subgroup $\langle [k]_n \rangle$ generated by $[k]_n$.

Note 2

It is possible to have $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \langle [l]_n \rangle$ for certain choices of $[k]_n, [l]_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, so these subgroups are not all distinct. In \mathbb{Z}_3 , we have $\langle [1]_3 \rangle = \langle [2]_3 \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_3$

Proposition 1 (In additive notation: $G = \mathbf{Z}_n$)

Let $d = \gcd(m, n)$. Then $\langle [m]_n \rangle = \langle [d]_n \rangle$. And $|\langle [m]_n \rangle| = |\langle [d]_n \rangle| = n/d$.

 $\langle [m]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d]_n \rangle$: $d|m \Rightarrow [m]_n \in \langle [d]_n \rangle$ (Why?) $\Rightarrow \langle [m]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d]_n \rangle$ (Why?) $\langle [d]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [m]_n \rangle$: d = sm + tn for some $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$. (Why?) $\Rightarrow [d]_n \in \langle [m]_n \rangle$. $|\langle [m]_n \rangle| = n/d$: The order of $[d]_n$ is n/d, and so $[m]_n$ has order n/d. In multiplicative notation: Let $G = \langle a \rangle$ be a finite cyclic group of order n.

[b] = k[m] for some $k \in \mathbb{Z} \Leftrightarrow mx \equiv b \pmod{n}$ has a solution. $\Leftrightarrow (m, n)|b$. By Theorem 10 in Chapter 1, there are (m, n) distinct solutions modulo n. We also know that every subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_n is cyclic. (Why?) For each $[k]_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, we obtain the cyclic subgroup $\langle [k]_n \rangle$ generated by $[k]_n$.

Note 2

It is possible to have $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \langle [l]_n \rangle$ for certain choices of $[k]_n, [l]_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, so these subgroups are not all distinct. In \mathbb{Z}_3 , we have $\langle [1]_3 \rangle = \langle [2]_3 \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_3$

Proposition 1 (In additive notation: $G = \mathbf{Z}_n$)

Let $d = \gcd(m, n)$. Then $\langle [m]_n \rangle = \langle [d]_n \rangle$. And $|\langle [m]_n \rangle| = |\langle [d]_n \rangle| = n/d$.

 $\langle [m]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d]_n \rangle : d | m \Rightarrow [m]_n \in \langle [d]_n \rangle \text{ (Why?)} \Rightarrow \langle [m]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d]_n \rangle \text{ (Why?)} \\ \langle [d]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [m]_n \rangle : d = sm + tn \text{ for some } s, t \in \mathbb{Z}. \text{ (Why?)} \Rightarrow [d]_n \in \langle [m]_n \rangle. \\ |\langle [m]_n \rangle| = n/d: \text{ The order of } [d]_n \text{ is } n/d, \text{ and so } [m]_n \text{ has order } n/d.$ In multiplicative notation: Let $G = \langle a \rangle$ be a finite cyclic group of order n. $d = \gcd(m, n): \text{ Then } \langle a^m \rangle = \langle a^d \rangle. \text{ And } o(a^m) = |\langle a^m \rangle| = |\langle a^d \rangle| = n/d.$

Corollary 5 (In additive notation: $G = \mathbf{Z}_n$)

(a) The element $[k]_n$ generates Z_n if and only if gcd(k, n) = 1.

Corollary 5 (In additive notation: $G = \mathbf{Z}_n$)

(a) The element $[k]_n$ generates Z_n if and only if gcd(k, n) = 1.

(b) If H is any subgroup of Z_n , then $H = \langle [d]_n \rangle$ for some divisor d of n.

- (a) The element $[k]_n$ generates Z_n if and only if gcd(k, n) = 1.
- (b) If H is any subgroup of Z_n , then $H = \langle [d]_n \rangle$ for some divisor d of n.
- (c) If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$ if and only if $d_2|d_1$.

- (a) The element $[k]_n$ generates Z_n if and only if gcd(k, n) = 1.
- (b) If H is any subgroup of Z_n , then $H = \langle [d]_n \rangle$ for some divisor d of n.
- (c) If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$ if and only if $d_2|d_1$.
- (c)' If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$ and $d_1 \neq d_2$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \neq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$.

- (a) The element $[k]_n$ generates Z_n if and only if gcd(k, n) = 1.
- (b) If H is any subgroup of Z_n , then $H = \langle [d]_n \rangle$ for some divisor d of n.
- (c) If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$ if and only if $d_2|d_1$.
- (c)' If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$ and $d_1 \neq d_2$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \neq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$.
- (a) $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \langle [d]_n \rangle \stackrel{!}{=} \langle [1]_n \rangle$

- (a) The element $[k]_n$ generates Z_n if and only if gcd(k, n) = 1.
- (b) If H is any subgroup of Z_n , then $H = \langle [d]_n \rangle$ for some divisor d of n.
- (c) If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$ if and only if $d_2|d_1$.
- (c)' If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$ and $d_1 \neq d_2$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \neq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$.
- (a) $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \langle [d]_n \rangle \stackrel{!}{=} \langle [1]_n \rangle \Leftrightarrow d = \gcd(k, n) \stackrel{!}{=} 1$ (Why?) (

- (a) The element $[k]_n$ generates \mathbf{Z}_n if and only if gcd(k, n) = 1.
- (b) If H is any subgroup of Z_n , then $H = \langle [d]_n \rangle$ for some divisor d of n.
- (c) If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$ if and only if $d_2|d_1$.
- (c)' If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$ and $d_1 \neq d_2$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \neq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$.
- (a) $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \langle [d]_n \rangle \stackrel{!}{=} \langle [1]_n \rangle \Leftrightarrow d = \gcd(k, n) \stackrel{!}{=} 1$ (Why?) (see Eg. 15 in §3.2)

- (a) The element $[k]_n$ generates Z_n if and only if gcd(k, n) = 1.
- (b) If H is any subgroup of Z_n , then $H = \langle [d]_n \rangle$ for some divisor d of n.
- (c) If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$ if and only if $d_2|d_1$.
- (c)' If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$ and $d_1 \neq d_2$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \neq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$.
- (a) $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \langle [d]_n \rangle \stackrel{!}{=} \langle [1]_n \rangle \Leftrightarrow d = \gcd(k, n) \stackrel{!}{=} 1$ (Why?) (see Eg. 15 in §3.2) (b) Every subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_n is cyclic &

Corollary 5 (In additive notation: $G = \mathbf{Z}_n$)

- (a) The element $[k]_n$ generates Z_n if and only if gcd(k, n) = 1.
- (b) If H is any subgroup of Z_n , then $H = \langle [d]_n \rangle$ for some divisor d of n.
- (c) If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$ if and only if $d_2|d_1$.
- (c)' If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$ and $d_1 \neq d_2$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \neq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$.

(a) $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \langle [d]_n \rangle \stackrel{!}{=} \langle [1]_n \rangle \Leftrightarrow d = \gcd(k, n) \stackrel{!}{=} 1$ (Why?) (see Eg. 15 in §3.2) (b) Every subgroup of \mathbf{Z}_n is cyclic & $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \langle [d]_n \rangle$ with $d = \gcd(k, n) \cdot \checkmark$ (c) $\Leftrightarrow : d_2 | d_1$

Corollary 5 (In additive notation: $G = \mathbf{Z}_n$)

- (a) The element $[k]_n$ generates Z_n if and only if gcd(k, n) = 1.
- (b) If H is any subgroup of Z_n , then $H = \langle [d]_n \rangle$ for some divisor d of n.
- (c) If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$ if and only if $d_2|d_1$.
- (c)' If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$ and $d_1 \neq d_2$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \neq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$.

(a) $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \langle [d]_n \rangle \stackrel{!}{=} \langle [1]_n \rangle \Leftrightarrow d = \gcd(k, n) \stackrel{!}{=} 1$ (Why?) (see Eg. 15 in §3.2) (b) Every subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_n is cyclic & $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \langle [d]_n \rangle$ with $d = \gcd(k, n)$. \checkmark (c) $\Leftrightarrow : d_2 | d_1 \Rightarrow d_1 = d_2 q$

Corollary 5 (In additive notation: $G = \mathbf{Z}_n$)

- (a) The element $[k]_n$ generates Z_n if and only if gcd(k, n) = 1.
- (b) If H is any subgroup of Z_n , then $H = \langle [d]_n \rangle$ for some divisor d of n.
- (c) If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$ if and only if $d_2|d_1$.
- (c)' If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$ and $d_1 \neq d_2$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \neq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$.

(a) $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \langle [d]_n \rangle \stackrel{!}{=} \langle [1]_n \rangle \Leftrightarrow d = \gcd(k, n) \stackrel{!}{=} 1$ (Why?) (see Eg. 15 in §3.2) (b) Every subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_n is cyclic & $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \langle [d]_n \rangle$ with $d = \gcd(k, n)$. \checkmark (c) $\Leftrightarrow : d_2 | d_1 \Rightarrow d_1 = d_2 q \Rightarrow [d_1]_n \in \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$

Corollary 5 (In additive notation: $G = \mathbf{Z}_n$)

- (a) The element $[k]_n$ generates \mathbf{Z}_n if and only if gcd(k, n) = 1.
- (b) If H is any subgroup of Z_n , then $H = \langle [d]_n \rangle$ for some divisor d of n.
- (c) If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$ if and only if $d_2|d_1$.
- (c)' If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$ and $d_1 \neq d_2$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \neq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$.

(a) $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \langle [d]_n \rangle \stackrel{!}{=} \langle [1]_n \rangle \Leftrightarrow d = \gcd(k, n) \stackrel{!}{=} 1$ (Why?) (see Eg. 15 in §3.2) (b) Every subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_n is cyclic & $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \langle [d]_n \rangle$ with $d = \gcd(k, n)$. (c) $\Leftrightarrow : d_2 | d_1 \Rightarrow d_1 = d_2 q \Rightarrow [d_1]_n \in \langle [d_2]_n \rangle \Rightarrow \langle [d_1]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$ (Why?) (c) $\Rightarrow : [d_1]_n \in \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$

Corollary 5 (In additive notation: $G = \mathbf{Z}_n$)

- (a) The element $[k]_n$ generates \mathbf{Z}_n if and only if gcd(k, n) = 1.
- (b) If H is any subgroup of Z_n , then $H = \langle [d]_n \rangle$ for some divisor d of n.
- (c) If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$ if and only if $d_2|d_1$.
- (c)' If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$ and $d_1 \neq d_2$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \neq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$.

(a) $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \langle [d]_n \rangle \stackrel{!}{=} \langle [1]_n \rangle \Leftrightarrow d = \gcd(k, n) \stackrel{!}{=} 1$ (Why?) (see Eg. 15 in §3.2) (b) Every subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_n is cyclic & $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \langle [d]_n \rangle$ with $d = \gcd(k, n)$. (c) $\Leftrightarrow : d_2 | d_1 \Rightarrow d_1 = d_2 q \Rightarrow [d_1]_n \in \langle [d_2]_n \rangle \Rightarrow \langle [d_1]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$ (Why?) (c) $\Rightarrow : [d_1]_n \in \langle [d_2]_n \rangle \Rightarrow [d_1]_n = q[d_2]_n$

Cyclic Groups

Yi

Corollary 5 (In additive notation: $G = \mathbf{Z}_n$)

- (a) The element $[k]_n$ generates \mathbf{Z}_n if and only if gcd(k, n) = 1.
- (b) If H is any subgroup of Z_n , then $H = \langle [d]_n \rangle$ for some divisor d of n.
- (c) If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$ if and only if $d_2|d_1$.
- (c)' If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$ and $d_1 \neq d_2$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \neq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$.

(a) $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \langle [d]_n \rangle \stackrel{!}{=} \langle [1]_n \rangle \Leftrightarrow d = \gcd(k, n) \stackrel{!}{=} 1$ (Why?) (see Eg. 15 in §3.2) (b) Every subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_n is cyclic & $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \langle [d]_n \rangle$ with $d = \gcd(k, n)$. (c) $\Leftrightarrow : d_2 | d_1 \Rightarrow d_1 = d_2 q \Rightarrow [d_1]_n \in \langle [d_2]_n \rangle \Rightarrow \langle [d_1]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$ (Why?) (c) $\Rightarrow : [d_1]_n \in \langle [d_2]_n \rangle \Rightarrow [d_1]_n = q[d_2]_n \Rightarrow d_1 \equiv qd_2 \pmod{n}$ for $q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Corollary 5 (In additive notation: $G = Z_n$)

- (a) The element $[k]_n$ generates \mathbf{Z}_n if and only if gcd(k, n) = 1.
- (b) If H is any subgroup of Z_n , then $H = \langle [d]_n \rangle$ for some divisor d of n.
- (c) If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$ if and only if $d_2|d_1$.
- (c)' If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$ and $d_1 \neq d_2$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \neq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$.

(a) $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \langle [d]_n \rangle \stackrel{!}{=} \langle [1]_n \rangle \Leftrightarrow d = \gcd(k, n) \stackrel{!}{=} 1$ (Why?) (see Eg. 15 in §3.2) (b) Every subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_n is cyclic & $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \langle [d]_n \rangle$ with $d = \gcd(k, n)$. (c) $\Leftrightarrow : d_2 | d_1 \Rightarrow d_1 = d_2 q \Rightarrow [d_1]_n \in \langle [d_2]_n \rangle \Rightarrow \langle [d_1]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$ (Why?) (c) $\Rightarrow : [d_1]_n \in \langle [d_2]_n \rangle \Rightarrow [d_1]_n = q[d_2]_n \Rightarrow d_1 \equiv qd_2 \pmod{n}$ for $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that $d_1 = qd_2 + nt$ for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}$,

Corollary 5 (In additive notation: $G = Z_n$)

- (a) The element $[k]_n$ generates \mathbf{Z}_n if and only if gcd(k, n) = 1.
- (b) If H is any subgroup of Z_n , then $H = \langle [d]_n \rangle$ for some divisor d of n.
- (c) If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$ if and only if $d_2|d_1$.
- (c)' If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$ and $d_1 \neq d_2$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \neq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$.

(a) $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \langle [d]_n \rangle \stackrel{!}{=} \langle [1]_n \rangle \Leftrightarrow d = \gcd(k, n) \stackrel{!}{=} 1$ (Why?) (see Eg. 15 in §3.2) (b) Every subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_n is cyclic & $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \langle [d]_n \rangle$ with $d = \gcd(k, n)$. (c) $\Leftrightarrow : d_2 | d_1 \Rightarrow d_1 = d_2 q \Rightarrow [d_1]_n \in \langle [d_2]_n \rangle \Rightarrow \langle [d_1]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$ (Why?) (c) $\Rightarrow : [d_1]_n \in \langle [d_2]_n \rangle \Rightarrow [d_1]_n = q[d_2]_n \Rightarrow d_1 \equiv qd_2 \pmod{n}$ for $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that $d_1 = qd_2 + nt$ for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, and so $d_2 | d_1$. (Why?)

Corollary 5 (In additive notation: $G = Z_n$)

- (a) The element $[k]_n$ generates \mathbf{Z}_n if and only if gcd(k, n) = 1.
- (b) If H is any subgroup of Z_n , then $H = \langle [d]_n \rangle$ for some divisor d of n.
- (c) If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$ if and only if $d_2|d_1$.
- (c)' If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$ and $d_1 \neq d_2$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \neq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$.

(a) $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \langle [d]_n \rangle \stackrel{!}{=} \langle [1]_n \rangle \Leftrightarrow d = \gcd(k, n) \stackrel{!}{=} 1$ (Why?) (see Eg. 15 in §3.2) (b) Every subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_n is cyclic & $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \langle [d]_n \rangle$ with $d = \gcd(k, n) \cdot \checkmark$ (c) $\Leftrightarrow : d_2 | d_1 \Rightarrow d_1 = d_2 q \Rightarrow [d_1]_n \in \langle [d_2]_n \rangle \Rightarrow \langle [d_1]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$ (Why?) (c) $\Rightarrow : [d_1]_n \in \langle [d_2]_n \rangle \Rightarrow [d_1]_n = q[d_2]_n \Rightarrow d_1 \equiv qd_2 \pmod{n}$ for $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that $d_1 = qd_2 + nt$ for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, and so $d_2 | d_1$. (Why?) In multiplicative notation: Let $G = \langle a \rangle$ be a finite cyclic group of order n. (a)

Corollary 5 (In additive notation: $G = \mathbf{Z}_n$)

- (a) The element $[k]_n$ generates \mathbf{Z}_n if and only if gcd(k, n) = 1.
- (b) If H is any subgroup of Z_n , then $H = \langle [d]_n \rangle$ for some divisor d of n.
- (c) If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$ if and only if $d_2|d_1$.
- (c)' If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$ and $d_1 \neq d_2$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \neq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$.

(a) $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \langle [d]_n \rangle \stackrel{!}{=} \langle [1]_n \rangle \Leftrightarrow d = \gcd(k, n) \stackrel{!}{=} 1$ (Why?) (see Eg. 15 in §3.2) (b) Every subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_n is cyclic & $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \langle [d]_n \rangle$ with $d = \gcd(k, n) \cdot \checkmark$ (c) $\Leftrightarrow : d_2 | d_1 \Rightarrow d_1 = d_2 q \Rightarrow [d_1]_n \in \langle [d_2]_n \rangle \Rightarrow \langle [d_1]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$ (Why?) (c) $\Rightarrow : [d_1]_n \in \langle [d_2]_n \rangle \Rightarrow [d_1]_n = q[d_2]_n \Rightarrow d_1 \equiv qd_2 \pmod{n}$ for $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that $d_1 = qd_2 + nt$ for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, and so $d_2 | d_1$. (Why?) In multiplicative notation: Let $G = \langle a \rangle$ be a finite cyclic group of order n. (a) The element a^k generates G if and only if $\gcd(k, n) = 1$. (b)

Corollary 5 (In additive notation: $G = Z_n$)

- (a) The element $[k]_n$ generates \mathbf{Z}_n if and only if gcd(k, n) = 1.
- (b) If H is any subgroup of Z_n , then $H = \langle [d]_n \rangle$ for some divisor d of n.
- (c) If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$ if and only if $d_2|d_1$.
- (c)' If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$ and $d_1 \neq d_2$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \neq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$.

(a) ⟨[k]_n⟩=⟨[d]_n⟩ [!]=⟨[1]_n⟩ ⇔ d= gcd(k, n) [!]=1 (Why?) (see Eg. 15 in §3.2)
(b) Every subgroup of Z_n is cyclic & ⟨[k]_n⟩=⟨[d]_n⟩ with d = gcd(k, n).√
(c) ⇔ : d₂|d₁ ⇒ d₁ = d₂q ⇒ [d₁]_n ∈ ⟨[d₂]_n⟩ ⇒ ⟨[d₁]_n⟩ ⊆ ⟨[d₂]_n⟩ (Why?)
(c) ⇒ : [d₁]_n ∈ ⟨[d₂]_n⟩ ⇒ [d₁]_n = q[d₂]_n ⇒ d₁ ≡ qd₂ (mod n) for q ∈ Z. It follows that d₁ = qd₂ + nt for some t ∈ Z, and so d₂|d₁. (Why?)
In multiplicative notation: Let G = ⟨a⟩ be a finite cyclic group of order n.
(a) The element a^k generates G if and only if gcd(k, n) = 1.
(b) If H is any subgroup of G, then H = ⟨a^d⟩ for some divisor d of n.
(c)

Corollary 5 (In additive notation: $G = Z_n$)

- (a) The element $[k]_n$ generates \mathbf{Z}_n if and only if gcd(k, n) = 1.
- (b) If H is any subgroup of Z_n , then $H = \langle [d]_n \rangle$ for some divisor d of n.
- (c) If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$ if and only if $d_2|d_1$.
- (c)' If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$ and $d_1 \neq d_2$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \neq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$.

(a) ⟨[k]_n⟩=⟨[d]_n⟩ = ⟨[1]_n⟩ ⇔ d=gcd(k, n) = 1 (Wh?) (see Eg. 15 in §3.2)
(b) Every subgroup of Z_n is cyclic & ⟨[k]_n⟩=⟨[d]_n⟩ with d = gcd(k, n).√
(c) ⇔ : d₂|d₁ ⇒ d₁ = d₂q ⇒ [d₁]_n ∈ ⟨[d₂]_n⟩ ⇒ ⟨[d₁]_n⟩ ⊆ ⟨[d₂]_n⟩ (Wh?)
(c) ⇒ : [d₁]_n ∈ ⟨[d₂]_n⟩ ⇒ [d₁]_n = q[d₂]_n ⇒ d₁ ≡ qd₂ (mod n) for q ∈ Z. It follows that d₁ = qd₂ + nt for some t ∈ Z, and so d₂|d₁. (Wh?)
In multiplicative notation: Let G = ⟨a⟩ be a finite cyclic group of order n.
(a) The element a^k generates G if and only if gcd(k, n) = 1.
(b) If H is any subgroup of G, then H = ⟨a^d⟩ for some divisor d of n.
(c) If d₁|n and d₂|n, then ⟨a^{d₁}⟩ ⊆ ⟨a^{d₂}⟩ if and only if d₂|d₁.

 $(c)^{2}$

Corollary 5 (In additive notation: $G = Z_n$)

- (a) The element $[k]_n$ generates \mathbf{Z}_n if and only if gcd(k, n) = 1.
- (b) If H is any subgroup of Z_n , then $H = \langle [d]_n \rangle$ for some divisor d of n.
- (c) If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$ if and only if $d_2|d_1$.
- (c)' If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$ and $d_1 \neq d_2$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \neq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$.

(a) ⟨[k]_n⟩=⟨[d]_n⟩ = ⟨[1]_n⟩ ⇔ d=gcd(k, n) = 1 (Why?) (see Eg. 15 in §3.2)
(b) Every subgroup of Z_n is cyclic & ⟨[k]_n⟩=⟨[d]_n⟩ with d = gcd(k, n).√
(c) ⇔ : d₂|d₁ ⇒ d₁ = d₂q ⇒ [d₁]_n ∈ ⟨[d₂]_n⟩ ⇒ ⟨[d₁]_n⟩ ⊆ ⟨[d₂]_n⟩ (Why?)
(c) ⇒ : [d₁]_n ∈ ⟨[d₂]_n⟩ ⇒ [d₁]_n = q[d₂]_n ⇒ d₁ ≡ qd₂ (mod n) for q ∈ Z. It follows that d₁ = qd₂ + nt for some t ∈ Z, and so d₂|d₁. (Why?)
In multiplicative notation: Let G = ⟨a⟩ be a finite cyclic group of order n.
(a) The element a^k generates G if and only if gcd(k, n) = 1.
(b) If H is any subgroup of G, then H = ⟨a^d⟩ for some divisor d of n.

- (c) If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$, then $\langle a^{d_1}\rangle \subseteq \langle a^{d_2}\rangle$ if and only if $d_2|d_1$.
- (c)' If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$ and $d_1 \neq d_2$, then $\langle a^{d_1} \rangle \neq \langle a^{d_2} \rangle$.

Example 6

Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_{24}$. List all possible choices of $[k]_{24}$ such that $\langle [k]_{24} \rangle = \langle [4]_{24} \rangle$.

Examples

Example 6

Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_{24}$. List all possible choices of $[k]_{24}$ such that $\langle [k]_{24} \rangle = \langle [4]_{24} \rangle$. 4|24 : So $\langle [k]_{24} \rangle = \langle [4]_{24} \rangle$ if and only if gcd(k, 24) = 4.
Example 6

Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_{24}$. List all possible choices of $[k]_{24}$ such that $\langle [k]_{24} \rangle = \langle [4]_{24} \rangle$. 4|24 : So $\langle [k]_{24} \rangle = \langle [4]_{24} \rangle$ if and only if gcd(k, 24) = 4. This means that 4|k but $gcd(\frac{k}{4}, 6) = 1$.

Example 6

Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_{24}$. List all possible choices of $[k]_{24}$ such that $\langle [k]_{24} \rangle = \langle [4]_{24} \rangle$. $4|24: \text{So } \langle [k]_{24} \rangle = \langle [4]_{24} \rangle$ if and only if gcd(k, 24) = 4. This means that $4|k \text{ but } gcd(\frac{k}{4}, 6) = 1$. The possible choices are k = 4, 20.

Example 7

Let $G = \mathbf{Z}_{18}$. List all possible choices of $[k]_{18}$ such that $\langle [k]_{18} \rangle = \langle [4]_{18} \rangle$.

Example 6

Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_{24}$. List all possible choices of $[k]_{24}$ such that $\langle [k]_{24} \rangle = \langle [4]_{24} \rangle$. $4|24: \text{So } \langle [k]_{24} \rangle = \langle [4]_{24} \rangle$ if and only if gcd(k, 24) = 4. This means that 4|k but $gcd(\frac{k}{4}, 6) = 1$. The possible choices are k = 4, 20.

Example 7

Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_{18}$. List all possible choices of $[k]_{18}$ such that $\langle [k]_{18} \rangle = \langle [4]_{18} \rangle$. 4 \nmid 18, but gcd(4, 18) = 2, so $\langle [k]_{18} \rangle = \langle [4]_{18} \rangle \Leftrightarrow \text{gcd}(k, 18) = 2$. (Why?)

Example 6

Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_{24}$. List all possible choices of $[k]_{24}$ such that $\langle [k]_{24} \rangle = \langle [4]_{24} \rangle$. $4|24: \text{So } \langle [k]_{24} \rangle = \langle [4]_{24} \rangle$ if and only if gcd(k, 24) = 4. This means that $4|k \text{ but } gcd(\frac{k}{4}, 6) = 1$. The possible choices are k = 4, 20.

Example 7

Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_{18}$. List all possible choices of $[k]_{18}$ such that $\langle [k]_{18} \rangle = \langle [4]_{18} \rangle$. $4 \nmid 18$, but gcd(4, 18) = 2, so $\langle [k]_{18} \rangle = \langle [4]_{18} \rangle \Leftrightarrow gcd(k, 18) = 2$. (Why?) It follows that 2|k but $gcd(\frac{k}{2}, 9) = 1$.

Example 6

Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_{24}$. List all possible choices of $[k]_{24}$ such that $\langle [k]_{24} \rangle = \langle [4]_{24} \rangle$. $4|24: \text{So } \langle [k]_{24} \rangle = \langle [4]_{24} \rangle$ if and only if gcd(k, 24) = 4. This means that $4|k \text{ but } gcd(\frac{k}{4}, 6) = 1$. The possible choices are k = 4, 20.

Example 7

Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_{18}$. List all possible choices of $[k]_{18}$ such that $\langle [k]_{18} \rangle = \langle [4]_{18} \rangle$. 4 \nmid 18, but gcd(4, 18) = 2, so $\langle [k]_{18} \rangle = \langle [4]_{18} \rangle \Leftrightarrow$ gcd(k, 18) = 2. (Why?) It follows that 2|k but gcd $(\frac{k}{2}, 9) = 1$. The possible choices are

k = 2, 4, 8, 10, 14, 16.

Question 2

Example 6

Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_{24}$. List all possible choices of $[k]_{24}$ such that $\langle [k]_{24} \rangle = \langle [4]_{24} \rangle$. $4|24: \text{So } \langle [k]_{24} \rangle = \langle [4]_{24} \rangle$ if and only if gcd(k, 24) = 4. This means that $4|k \text{ but } gcd(\frac{k}{4}, 6) = 1$. The possible choices are k = 4, 20.

Example 7

Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_{18}$. List all possible choices of $[k]_{18}$ such that $\langle [k]_{18} \rangle = \langle [4]_{18} \rangle$. $4 \nmid 18$, but gcd(4, 18) = 2, so $\langle [k]_{18} \rangle = \langle [4]_{18} \rangle \Leftrightarrow gcd(k, 18) = 2$. (Why?) It follows that 2|k but $gcd(\frac{k}{2}, 9) = 1$. The possible choices are k = 2, 4, 8, 10, 14, 16.

Question 2

List all the subgroups of Z_{18} ?

List **all** the subgroups of Z_{18}

Note 3 (Corollary 5)

- $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \mathbf{Z}_n \Leftrightarrow \gcd(k, n) = 1$, i.e., $[k]_n \in \mathbf{Z}_n^{\times}$.
- Every subgroup of Z_n is of the form $\langle [d]_n \rangle$ where d|n.
- If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle \Leftrightarrow d_2|d_1$.
- If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$ and $d_1 \neq d_2$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \neq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$.

Note 3 (Corollary 5)

•
$$\langle [k]_n \rangle = \mathbf{Z}_n \Leftrightarrow \gcd(k, n) = 1, \text{ i.e., } [k]_n \in \mathbf{Z}_n^{\times}.$$

- Every subgroup of Z_n is of the form $\langle [d]_n \rangle$ where d|n.
- If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle \Leftrightarrow d_2|d_1$.
- If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$ and $d_1 \neq d_2$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \neq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$.

So, the subgroups of Z_n are in one to one correspondence with the divisors of n.

Note 3 (Corollary 5)

- $\langle [k]_n \rangle = \mathbf{Z}_n \Leftrightarrow \gcd(k, n) = 1, \text{ i.e., } [k]_n \in \mathbf{Z}_n^{\times}.$
- Every subgroup of Z_n is of the form $\langle [d]_n \rangle$ where d|n.
- If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle \Leftrightarrow d_2|d_1$.
- If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$ and $d_1 \neq d_2$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \neq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$.

So, the subgroups of Z_n are in one to one correspondence with the divisors of n. The divisors of 18 are: 1, 2, 3, 6, 9, 18. So the subgroups of Z_{18} are:

Note 3 (Corollary 5)

•
$$\langle [k]_n \rangle = \mathbf{Z}_n \Leftrightarrow \gcd(k, n) = 1, \text{ i.e., } [k]_n \in \mathbf{Z}_n^{\times}.$$

• Every subgroup of Z_n is of the form $\langle [d]_n \rangle$ where d|n.

- If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle \Leftrightarrow d_2|d_1$.
- If $d_1|n$ and $d_2|n$ and $d_1 \neq d_2$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \neq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$.

So, the subgroups of Z_n are in one to one correspondence with the divisors of n. The divisors of 18 are: 1, 2, 3, 6, 9, 18. So the subgroups of Z_{18} are:

$[d]_{18}$	$\langle [d]_{18} angle$	$ \langle [d]_{18} angle $
[1]	Z ₁₈	18
[2]	$\{[0], [2], [4], [6], [8], [10], [12], [14], [16]\}$	9
[3]	$\{[0], [3], [6], [9], [12], [15]\}$	6
[6]	$\{[0], [6], [12]\}$	3
[9]	{[0], [9]}	2
[18]	{[0]}	1

Notation: $m\mathbf{Z}_n = \langle [m]_n \rangle$ consisting of all multiples of $[m]_n$ in \mathbf{Z}_n .

Definition 8

Notation: $m\mathbf{Z}_n = \langle [m]_n \rangle$ consisting of all multiples of $[m]_n$ in \mathbf{Z}_n .

Definition 8

For small n, we can easily give a diagram showing all subgroups of Z_n and the inclusion relations between them. This is called a **subgroup diagram**.

In particular,

Notation: $m\mathbf{Z}_n = \langle [m]_n \rangle$ consisting of all multiples of $[m]_n$ in \mathbf{Z}_n .

Definition 8

For small n, we can easily give a diagram showing all subgroups of Z_n and the inclusion relations between them. This is called a **subgroup diagram**.

In particular, larger subgroups on top,

Notation: $m\mathbf{Z}_n = \langle [m]_n \rangle$ consisting of all multiples of $[m]_n$ in \mathbf{Z}_n .

Definition 8

For small n, we can easily give a diagram showing all subgroups of Z_n and the inclusion relations between them. This is called a **subgroup diagram**.

In particular, larger subgroups on top, smaller subgroups on the bottom,

Notation: $m\mathbf{Z}_n = \langle [m]_n \rangle$ consisting of all multiples of $[m]_n$ in \mathbf{Z}_n .

Definition 8

For small n, we can easily give a diagram showing all subgroups of Z_n and the inclusion relations between them. This is called a **subgroup diagram**.

In particular, larger subgroups on top, smaller subgroups on the bottom, a line connecting two subgroups indicates that the subgroup on the bottom is contained in the subgroup on the top.

Example 9 (The subgroup diagram of Z_{20})

Notation: $m\mathbf{Z}_n = \langle [m]_n \rangle$ consisting of all multiples of $[m]_n$ in \mathbf{Z}_n .

Definition 8

For small n, we can easily give a diagram showing all subgroups of Z_n and the inclusion relations between them. This is called a **subgroup diagram**.

In particular, larger subgroups on top, smaller subgroups on the bottom, a line connecting two subgroups indicates that the subgroup on the bottom is contained in the subgroup on the top.

Example 9 (The subgroup diagram of Z_{20})

The subgroups are obtained from the divisors of 20: 1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 20.

Notation: $m\mathbf{Z}_n = \langle [m]_n \rangle$ consisting of all multiples of $[m]_n$ in \mathbf{Z}_n .

Definition 8

For small n, we can easily give a diagram showing all subgroups of Z_n and the inclusion relations between them. This is called a **subgroup diagram**.

In particular, larger subgroups on top, smaller subgroups on the bottom, a line connecting two subgroups indicates that the subgroup on the bottom is contained in the subgroup on the top.

Example 9 (The subgroup diagram of Z_{20})

The subgroups are obtained from the divisors of 20: 1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 20. $20 = 2^2 \cdot 5^1$: Think about any divisor $d = 2^i 5^j$, i = 0, 1, 2 and j = 0, 1.

Notation: $m\mathbf{Z}_n = \langle [m]_n \rangle$ consisting of all multiples of $[m]_n$ in \mathbf{Z}_n .

Definition 8

For small n, we can easily give a diagram showing all subgroups of Z_n and the inclusion relations between them. This is called a **subgroup diagram**.

In particular, larger subgroups on top, smaller subgroups on the bottom, a line connecting two subgroups indicates that the subgroup on the bottom is contained in the subgroup on the top.

Example 9 (The subgroup diagram of Z_{20})

The subgroups are obtained from the divisors of 20: 1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 20. $20 = 2^2 \cdot 5^1$: Think about any divisor $d = 2^i 5^j$, i = 0, 1, 2 and j = 0, 1. Each of these divisors generates a subgroup.

Note:

Notation: $m\mathbf{Z}_n = \langle [m]_n \rangle$ consisting of all multiples of $[m]_n$ in \mathbf{Z}_n .

Definition 8

For small n, we can easily give a diagram showing all subgroups of Z_n and the inclusion relations between them. This is called a **subgroup diagram**.

In particular, larger subgroups on top, smaller subgroups on the bottom, a line connecting two subgroups indicates that the subgroup on the bottom is contained in the subgroup on the top.

Example 9 (The subgroup diagram of Z_{20})

The subgroups are obtained from the divisors of 20: 1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 20. $20 = 2^2 \cdot 5^1$: Think about any divisor $d = 2^i 5^j$, i = 0, 1, 2 and j = 0, 1. Each of these divisors generates a subgroup.

Note: $1\textbf{Z}_{20}=\langle [1]_{20}\rangle=\textbf{Z}_{20}$ (entire group) and

Notation: $m\mathbf{Z}_n = \langle [m]_n \rangle$ consisting of all multiples of $[m]_n$ in \mathbf{Z}_n .

Definition 8

For small n, we can easily give a diagram showing all subgroups of Z_n and the inclusion relations between them. This is called a **subgroup diagram**.

In particular, larger subgroups on top, smaller subgroups on the bottom, a line connecting two subgroups indicates that the subgroup on the bottom is contained in the subgroup on the top.

Example 9 (The subgroup diagram of Z_{20})

The subgroups are obtained from the divisors of 20: 1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 20. $20 = 2^2 \cdot 5^1$: Think about any divisor $d = 2^i 5^j$, i = 0, 1, 2 and j = 0, 1. Each of these divisors generates a subgroup.

Note: $1\mathbf{Z}_{20} = \langle [1]_{20} \rangle = \mathbf{Z}_{20}$ (entire group) and $20\mathbf{Z}_{20} = \langle [0]_{20} \rangle = \{ [0]_{20} \}.$

Notation: $m\mathbf{Z}_n = \langle [m]_n \rangle$ consisting of all multiples of $[m]_n$ in \mathbf{Z}_n .

Definition 8

For small n, we can easily give a diagram showing all subgroups of Z_n and the inclusion relations between them. This is called a **subgroup diagram**.

In particular, larger subgroups on top, smaller subgroups on the bottom, a line connecting two subgroups indicates that the subgroup on the bottom is contained in the subgroup on the top.

Example 9 (The subgroup diagram of Z_{20})

The subgroups are obtained from the divisors of 20: 1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 20. $20 = 2^2 \cdot 5^1$: Think about any divisor $d = 2^i 5^j$, i = 0, 1, 2 and j = 0, 1. Each of these divisors generates a subgroup.

Note: $1\mathbb{Z}_{20} = \langle [1]_{20} \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_{20}$ (entire group) and $20\mathbb{Z}_{20} = \langle [0]_{20} \rangle = \{ [0]_{20} \}$. Corollary 5 (c): If $d_1 | n$ and $d_2 | n$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$ if and only if $d_2 | d_1$.

Notation: $m\mathbf{Z}_n = \langle [m]_n \rangle$ consisting of all multiples of $[m]_n$ in \mathbf{Z}_n .

Definition 8

For small n, we can easily give a diagram showing all subgroups of Z_n and the inclusion relations between them. This is called a **subgroup diagram**.

In particular, larger subgroups on top, smaller subgroups on the bottom, a line connecting two subgroups indicates that the subgroup on the bottom is contained in the subgroup on the top.

Example 9 (The subgroup diagram of Z_{20})

The subgroups are obtained from the divisors of 20: 1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 20. $20 = 2^2 \cdot 5^1$: Think about any divisor $d = 2^i 5^j$, i = 0, 1, 2 and j = 0, 1. Each of these divisors generates a subgroup.

Note: $1\mathbb{Z}_{20} = \langle [1]_{20} \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_{20}$ (entire group) and $20\mathbb{Z}_{20} = \langle [0]_{20} \rangle = \{ [0]_{20} \}$. Corollary 5 (c): If $d_1 | n$ and $d_2 | n$, then $\langle [d_1]_n \rangle \subseteq \langle [d_2]_n \rangle$ if and only if $d_2 | d_1$. That is, smaller divisors of *n* correspond to larger subgroups.

Example 9 cont.: The subgroup diagram of Z_{20}

Example 9 cont.: The subgroup diagram of Z_{20}



Cyclic Groups

Example: The subgroup diagram of Z_{27}

Example: The subgroup diagram of Z_{27}

 $27 = 3^3$: Think about any divisor $d = 3^i, i = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

Example: The subgroup diagram of Z_{27}

 $27 = 3^3$: Think about any divisor $d = 3^i, i = 0, 1, 2, 3$.



Recall that we introduced the direct product of two groups in $\S3.3$. In fact, this definition can be extended to the direct product of *n* groups. (How?)

Definition 10

Recall that we introduced the direct product of two groups in $\S3.3$. In fact, this definition can be extended to the direct product of *n* groups. (How?)

Definition 10

The direct product $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n$ of *n* groups G_1, \ldots, G_n is defined as follows:

Recall that we introduced the direct product of two groups in $\S3.3$. In fact, this definition can be extended to the direct product of *n* groups. (How?)

Definition 10

The direct product $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n$ of *n* groups G_1, \ldots, G_n is defined as follows:

• The elements are *n*-tuples (g_1, \ldots, g_n) , where $g_i \in G_i$ for each *i*.

Recall that we introduced the direct product of two groups in $\S3.3$. In fact, this definition can be extended to the direct product of *n* groups. (How?)

Definition 10

The direct product $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n$ of *n* groups G_1, \ldots, G_n is defined as follows:

- The elements are *n*-tuples (g_1, \ldots, g_n) , where $g_i \in G_i$ for each *i*.
- The operation is componentwise multiplication:

Recall that we introduced the direct product of two groups in $\S3.3$. In fact, this definition can be extended to the direct product of *n* groups. (How?)

Definition 10

The direct product $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n$ of *n* groups G_1, \ldots, G_n is defined as follows:

- The elements are *n*-tuples (g_1, \ldots, g_n) , where $g_i \in G_i$ for each *i*.
- The operation is componentwise multiplication:

$$(g_1,\ldots,g_n)(g_1',\ldots,g_n')=(g_1g_1',\ldots,g_ng_n').$$

Recall that we introduced the direct product of two groups in $\S3.3$. In fact, this definition can be extended to the direct product of *n* groups. (How?)

Definition 10

The direct product $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n$ of *n* groups G_1, \ldots, G_n is defined as follows:

- The elements are *n*-tuples (g_1, \ldots, g_n) , where $g_i \in G_i$ for each *i*.
- The operation is componentwise multiplication:

$$(g_1,\ldots,g_n)(g_1',\ldots,g_n')=(g_1g_1',\ldots,g_ng_n').$$

• The order of an element is the least common multiple of the orders of each component.

Theorem 11

Recall that we introduced the direct product of two groups in $\S3.3$. In fact, this definition can be extended to the direct product of *n* groups. (How?)

Definition 10

The direct product $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n$ of *n* groups G_1, \ldots, G_n is defined as follows:

- The elements are *n*-tuples (g_1, \ldots, g_n) , where $g_i \in G_i$ for each *i*.
- The operation is componentwise multiplication:

$$(g_1,\ldots,g_n)(g_1',\ldots,g_n')=(g_1g_1',\ldots,g_ng_n').$$

• The order of an element is the least common multiple of the orders of each component.

Theorem 11

Let $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ which has the prime decomposition $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_m^{\alpha_m}$. Then

$${\sf Z}_n \cong {\sf Z}_{p_1^{lpha_1}} imes {\sf Z}_{p_2^{lpha_2}} imes \cdots imes {\sf Z}_{p_m^{lpha_m}}$$
, where $p_1 < p_2 < \ldots < p_m$.

Recall that we introduced the direct product of two groups in $\S3.3$. In fact, this definition can be extended to the direct product of *n* groups. (How?)

Definition 10

The direct product $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n$ of *n* groups G_1, \ldots, G_n is defined as follows:

- The elements are *n*-tuples (g_1, \ldots, g_n) , where $g_i \in G_i$ for each *i*.
- The operation is componentwise multiplication:

$$(g_1,\ldots,g_n)(g_1',\ldots,g_n')=(g_1g_1',\ldots,g_ng_n').$$

 The order of an element is the least common multiple of the orders of each component.

Theorem 11

Let $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ which has the prime decomposition $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_m^{\alpha_m}$. Then

$${\sf Z}_n \cong {\sf Z}_{p_1^{lpha_1}} imes {\sf Z}_{p_2^{lpha_2}} imes \cdots imes {\sf Z}_{p_m^{lpha_m}}$$
, where $p_1 < p_2 < \ldots < p_m$.

RHS: The element $([1], [1], \ldots, [1])$ has order *n*. (Why?) &

Cyclic Groups

Recall that we introduced the direct product of two groups in $\S3.3$. In fact, this definition can be extended to the direct product of *n* groups. (How?)

Definition 10

The direct product $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n$ of *n* groups G_1, \ldots, G_n is defined as follows:

- The elements are *n*-tuples (g_1, \ldots, g_n) , where $g_i \in G_i$ for each *i*.
- The operation is componentwise multiplication:

$$(g_1,\ldots,g_n)(g_1',\ldots,g_n')=(g_1g_1',\ldots,g_ng_n').$$

 The order of an element is the least common multiple of the orders of each component.

Theorem 11

Let $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ which has the prime decomposition $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_m^{\alpha_m}$. Then

$${\sf Z}_n \cong {\sf Z}_{p_1^{lpha_1}} imes {\sf Z}_{p_2^{lpha_2}} imes \cdots imes {\sf Z}_{p_m^{lpha_m}}$$
, where $p_1 < p_2 < \ldots < p_m$.

RHS: The element ([1], [1], ..., [1]) has order *n*. (Why?) & Thm 2 (b) \Box

Cyclic Groups
Let $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ which has the prime decomposition $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_m^{\alpha_m}$. Then

$$\varphi(n) = n\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right)\cdots\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_m}\right)$$
, where $p_1 < p_2 < \ldots < p_m$.

Corollary 12 (Proposition 8 in Chapter 1)

Let $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ which has the prime decomposition $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_m^{\alpha_m}$. Then

$$\varphi(n) = n\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right)\cdots\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_m}\right)$$
, where $p_1 < p_2 < \ldots < p_m$.

Use $Z_{p_1^{\alpha_1}} \times Z_{p_2^{\alpha_2}} \times \cdots \times Z_{p_m^{\alpha_m}} \cong Z_n$ to count the generators of Z_n . (Easier)

Corollary 12 (Proposition 8 in Chapter 1)

Let $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ which has the prime decomposition $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_m^{\alpha_m}$. Then

$$\varphi(n) = n\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right)\cdots\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_m}\right)$$
, where $p_1 < p_2 < \ldots < p_m$.

Use $Z_{p_1^{\alpha_1}} \times Z_{p_2^{\alpha_2}} \times \cdots \times Z_{p_m^{\alpha_m}} \cong Z_n$ to count the generators of Z_n . (Easier) Since an isomorphism preserves generators.

Corollary 12 (Proposition 8 in Chapter 1)

Let $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ which has the prime decomposition $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_m^{\alpha_m}$. Then

$$\varphi(n) = n\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right)\cdots\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_m}\right)$$
, where $p_1 < p_2 < \ldots < p_m$.

Use $Z_{p_1^{\alpha_1}} \times Z_{p_2^{\alpha_2}} \times \cdots \times Z_{p_m^{\alpha_m}} \cong Z_n$ to count the generators of Z_n . (Easier) Since an isomorphism preserves generators. An element g of this direct product is a generator \Leftrightarrow it has order n.

Corollary 12 (Proposition 8 in Chapter 1)

Let $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ which has the prime decomposition $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_m^{\alpha_m}$. Then

$$\varphi(n) = n\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right)\cdots\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_m}\right)$$
, where $p_1 < p_2 < \ldots < p_m$.

Use $Z_{p_1^{\alpha_1}} \times Z_{p_2^{\alpha_2}} \times \cdots \times Z_{p_m^{\alpha_m}} \cong Z_n$ to count the generators of Z_n . (Easier) Since an isomorphism preserves generators. An element g of this direct product is a generator \Leftrightarrow it has order n. So $lcm[o(g_1), \ldots, o(g_m)] = n$.

Corollary 12 (Proposition 8 in Chapter 1)

Let $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ which has the prime decomposition $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_m^{\alpha_m}$. Then

$$\varphi(n) = n\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right)\cdots\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_m}\right)$$
, where $p_1 < p_2 < \ldots < p_m$.

Use $\mathbf{Z}_{p_1^{\alpha_1}} \times \mathbf{Z}_{p_2^{\alpha_2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{Z}_{p_m^{\alpha_m}} \cong \mathbf{Z}_n$ to count the generators of \mathbf{Z}_n . (Easier) Since an isomorphism preserves generators. An element g of this direct product is a generator \Leftrightarrow it has order n. So $\operatorname{lcm}[o(g_1), \ldots, o(g_m)] = n$. It implies that $o(g_i) = p_i^{\alpha_i}$ for each i. (Why?)

Corollary 12 (Proposition 8 in Chapter 1)

Let $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ which has the prime decomposition $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_m^{\alpha_m}$. Then

$$\varphi(n) = n\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right)\cdots\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_m}\right)$$
, where $p_1 < p_2 < \ldots < p_m$.

Use $\mathbf{Z}_{p_1^{\alpha_1}} \times \mathbf{Z}_{p_2^{\alpha_2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{Z}_{p_m^{\alpha_m}} \cong \mathbf{Z}_n$ to count the generators of \mathbf{Z}_n . (Easier) Since an isomorphism preserves generators. An element g of this direct product is a generator \Leftrightarrow it has order n. So $\operatorname{lcm}[o(g_1), \ldots, o(g_m)] = n$. It implies that $o(g_i) = p_i^{\alpha_i}$ for each i. (Why?) Thus g_i is a generator in $\mathbf{Z}_{p_i^{\alpha_i}}$ for each i.

Corollary 12 (Proposition 8 in Chapter 1)

Let $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ which has the prime decomposition $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_m^{\alpha_m}$. Then

$$\varphi(n) = n\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right)\cdots\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_m}\right)$$
, where $p_1 < p_2 < \ldots < p_m$.

Use $Z_{p_1^{\alpha_1}} \times Z_{p_2^{\alpha_2}} \times \cdots \times Z_{p_m^{\alpha_m}} \cong Z_n$ to count the generators of Z_n . (Easier) Since an isomorphism preserves generators. An element g of this direct product is a generator \Leftrightarrow it has order n. So $lcm[o(g_1), \ldots, o(g_m)] = n$. It implies that $o(g_i) = p_i^{\alpha_i}$ for each i. (Why?) Thus g_i is a generator in $Z_{p_i^{\alpha_i}}$ for each i. The total number of possible generators is equal to the product of the number of generators in each component. Revisit Euler's totient function arphi(n), for $n\in {\sf Z}^+$

 $\varphi(n) := \#\{a \mid (a, n) = 1 \text{ and } 0 < a \le n\} = |\mathsf{Z}_n^{\times}| = \# \text{ of generators of } \mathsf{Z}_n$

Corollary 12 (Proposition 8 in Chapter 1)

Let $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ which has the prime decomposition $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_m^{\alpha_m}$. Then

$$\varphi(n) = n\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right)\cdots\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_m}\right)$$
, where $p_1 < p_2 < \ldots < p_m$.

Use $Z_{p_1^{\alpha_1}} \times Z_{p_2^{\alpha_2}} \times \cdots \times Z_{p_m^{\alpha_m}} \cong Z_n$ to count the generators of Z_n . (Easier) Since an isomorphism preserves generators. An element g of this direct product is a generator \Leftrightarrow it has order n. So $lcm[o(g_1), \ldots, o(g_m)] = n$. It implies that $o(g_i) = p_i^{\alpha_i}$ for each i. (Why?) Thus g_i is a generator in $Z_{p_i^{\alpha_i}}$ for each i. The total number of possible generators is equal to the product of the number of generators in each component. For any prime p, the elements that are *not* generators are the multiples of p in $Z_{p^{\alpha_i}}$, (Why?) Revisit Euler's totient function arphi(n), for $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$

 $\varphi(n) := \#\{a \mid (a, n) = 1 \text{ and } 0 < a \le n\} = |\mathbf{Z}_n^{\times}| = \# \text{ of generators of } \mathbf{Z}_n$

Corollary 12 (Proposition 8 in Chapter 1)

Let $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ which has the prime decomposition $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_m^{\alpha_m}$. Then

$$\varphi(n) = n\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right)\cdots\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_m}\right)$$
, where $p_1 < p_2 < \ldots < p_m$.

Use $Z_{p_1^{\alpha_1}} \times Z_{p_2^{\alpha_2}} \times \cdots \times Z_{p_m^{\alpha_m}} \cong Z_n$ to count the generators of Z_n . (Easier) Since an isomorphism preserves generators. An element g of this direct product is a generator \Leftrightarrow it has order n. So $lcm[o(g_1), \ldots, o(g_m)] = n$. It implies that $o(g_i) = p_i^{\alpha_i}$ for each i. (Why?) Thus g_i is a generator in $Z_{p_i^{\alpha_i}}$ for each i. The total number of possible generators is equal to the product of the number of generators in each component. For any prime p, the elements that are *not* generators are the multiples of p in $Z_{p^{\alpha_i}}$, (Why?) and there are $p^{\alpha-1}$ such multiples in $Z_{p^{\alpha_i}}$. (Why?)

Corollary 12 (Proposition 8 in Chapter 1)

Let $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ which has the prime decomposition $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_m^{\alpha_m}$. Then

$$\varphi(n) = n\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right)\cdots\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_m}\right)$$
, where $p_1 < p_2 < \ldots < p_m$.

Use $Z_{p_1^{\alpha_1}} \times Z_{p_2^{\alpha_2}} \times \cdots \times Z_{p_m^{\alpha_m}} \cong Z_n$ to count the generators of Z_n . (Easier) Since an isomorphism preserves generators. An element g of this direct product is a generator \Leftrightarrow it has order n. So $lcm[o(g_1), \ldots, o(g_m)] = n$. It implies that $o(g_i) = p_i^{\alpha_i}$ for each i. (Why?) Thus g_i is a generator in $Z_{p_i^{\alpha_i}}$ for each i. The total number of possible generators is equal to the product of the number of generators in each component. For any prime p, the elements that are *not* generators are the multiples of

p in $Z_{p^{\alpha}}$, (Why?) and there are $p^{\alpha-1}$ such multiples in $Z_{p^{\alpha}}$. (Why?) Thus

$$arphi(m{p}^lpha)=m{p}^lpha-m{p}^{lpha-1}=m{p}^lpha\left(1-rac{1}{m{p}}
ight)$$

Lemma 13

Lemma 13

If $G_1 \cong H_1$ and $G_2 \cong H_2$, then $G_1 \times G_2 \cong H_1 \times H_2$. (

Lemma 13

If $G_1 \cong H_1$ and $G_2 \cong H_2$, then $G_1 \times G_2 \cong H_1 \times H_2$. (What is ϕ ?)

Lemma 13

If $G_1 \cong H_1$ and $G_2 \cong H_2$, then $G_1 \times G_2 \cong H_1 \times H_2$. (What is ϕ ?)

Let $\theta_1 : G_1 \to H_1$ and $\theta_2 : G_2 \to H_2$.

Lemma 13

If $G_1 \cong H_1$ and $G_2 \cong H_2$, then $G_1 \times G_2 \cong H_1 \times H_2$. (What is ϕ ?)

Let $\theta_1 : G_1 \to H_1$ and $\theta_2 : G_2 \to H_2$. Define $\phi : G_1 \times G_2 \to H_1 \times H_2$ by $\phi((x_1, x_2)) = (\theta_1(x_1), \theta_2(x_2))$, for all $(x_1, x_2) \in G_1 \times G_2$.

Lemma 13

If $G_1 \cong H_1$ and $G_2 \cong H_2$, then $G_1 \times G_2 \cong H_1 \times H_2$. (What is ϕ ?)

Let $\theta_1 : G_1 \to H_1$ and $\theta_2 : G_2 \to H_2$. Define $\phi : G_1 \times G_2 \to H_1 \times H_2$ by $\phi((x_1, x_2)) = (\theta_1(x_1), \theta_2(x_2))$, for all $(x_1, x_2) \in G_1 \times G_2$.

Claim: ϕ is a group isomorphism. (Check it!)

Example 14 (Is $Z_4 \times Z_{10}$ isomorphic to $Z_2 \times Z_{20}$?

Lemma 13

If $G_1 \cong H_1$ and $G_2 \cong H_2$, then $G_1 \times G_2 \cong H_1 \times H_2$. (What is ϕ ?)

Let $\theta_1 : G_1 \to H_1$ and $\theta_2 : G_2 \to H_2$. Define $\phi : G_1 \times G_2 \to H_1 \times H_2$ by $\phi((x_1, x_2)) = (\theta_1(x_1), \theta_2(x_2))$, for all $(x_1, x_2) \in G_1 \times G_2$.

Claim: ϕ is a group isomorphism. (Check it!)

Example 14 (Is $Z_4 \times Z_{10}$ isomorphic to $Z_2 \times Z_{20}$? Yes!)

Lemma 13

If $G_1 \cong H_1$ and $G_2 \cong H_2$, then $G_1 \times G_2 \cong H_1 \times H_2$. (What is ϕ ?)

Let $\theta_1 : G_1 \to H_1$ and $\theta_2 : G_2 \to H_2$. Define $\phi : G_1 \times G_2 \to H_1 \times H_2$ by $\phi((x_1, x_2)) = (\theta_1(x_1), \theta_2(x_2))$, for all $(x_1, x_2) \in G_1 \times G_2$.

Claim: ϕ is a group isomorphism. (Check it!)

Example 14 (Is $Z_4 \times Z_{10}$ isomorphic to $Z_2 \times Z_{20}$? Yes!)

By Theorem 11, we have $Z_{10} \cong Z_2 \times Z_5$ and $Z_{20} \cong Z_4 \times Z_5$.

Lemma 13

If $G_1 \cong H_1$ and $G_2 \cong H_2$, then $G_1 \times G_2 \cong H_1 \times H_2$. (What is ϕ ?)

Let $\theta_1 : G_1 \to H_1$ and $\theta_2 : G_2 \to H_2$. Define $\phi : G_1 \times G_2 \to H_1 \times H_2$ by $\phi((x_1, x_2)) = (\theta_1(x_1), \theta_2(x_2))$, for all $(x_1, x_2) \in G_1 \times G_2$.

Claim: ϕ is a group isomorphism. (Check it!)

Example 14 (Is $Z_4 \times Z_{10}$ isomorphic to $Z_2 \times Z_{20}$? Yes!)

By Theorem 11, we have $Z_{10} \cong Z_2 \times Z_5$ and $Z_{20} \cong Z_4 \times Z_5$. By Lemma 13, we then have $Z_4 \times Z_{10} \cong Z_4 \times Z_2 \times Z_5$ and $Z_2 \times Z_{20} \cong Z_2 \times Z_4 \times Z_5$.

Lemma 13

If $G_1 \cong H_1$ and $G_2 \cong H_2$, then $G_1 \times G_2 \cong H_1 \times H_2$. (What is ϕ ?)

Let $\theta_1 : G_1 \to H_1$ and $\theta_2 : G_2 \to H_2$. Define $\phi : G_1 \times G_2 \to H_1 \times H_2$ by $\phi((x_1, x_2)) = (\theta_1(x_1), \theta_2(x_2))$, for all $(x_1, x_2) \in G_1 \times G_2$.

Claim: ϕ is a group isomorphism. (Check it!)

Example 14 (Is $Z_4 \times Z_{10}$ isomorphic to $Z_2 \times Z_{20}$? Yes!)

By Theorem 11, we have $Z_{10} \cong Z_2 \times Z_5$ and $Z_{20} \cong Z_4 \times Z_5$. By Lemma 13, we then have $Z_4 \times Z_{10} \cong Z_4 \times Z_2 \times Z_5$ and $Z_2 \times Z_{20} \cong Z_2 \times Z_4 \times Z_5$. Finally, it is easy to see that $Z_4 \times Z_2 \times Z_5 \cong Z_2 \times Z_4 \times Z_5$. (What is ϕ ?)

Example 15 (Is $Z_4 \times Z_{15}$ isomorphic to $Z_6 \times Z_{10}$?

Lemma 13

If $G_1 \cong H_1$ and $G_2 \cong H_2$, then $G_1 \times G_2 \cong H_1 \times H_2$. (What is ϕ ?)

Let $\theta_1 : G_1 \to H_1$ and $\theta_2 : G_2 \to H_2$. Define $\phi : G_1 \times G_2 \to H_1 \times H_2$ by $\phi((x_1, x_2)) = (\theta_1(x_1), \theta_2(x_2))$, for all $(x_1, x_2) \in G_1 \times G_2$.

Claim: ϕ is a group isomorphism. (Check it!)

Example 14 (Is $Z_4 \times Z_{10}$ isomorphic to $Z_2 \times Z_{20}$? Yes!)

By Theorem 11, we have $Z_{10} \cong Z_2 \times Z_5$ and $Z_{20} \cong Z_4 \times Z_5$. By Lemma 13, we then have $Z_4 \times Z_{10} \cong Z_4 \times Z_2 \times Z_5$ and $Z_2 \times Z_{20} \cong Z_2 \times Z_4 \times Z_5$. Finally, it is easy to see that $Z_4 \times Z_2 \times Z_5 \cong Z_2 \times Z_4 \times Z_5$. (What is ϕ ?)

Example 15 (Is $Z_4 \times Z_{15}$ isomorphic to $Z_6 \times Z_{10}$? No!)

Lemma 13

If $G_1 \cong H_1$ and $G_2 \cong H_2$, then $G_1 \times G_2 \cong H_1 \times H_2$. (What is ϕ ?)

Let $\theta_1 : G_1 \to H_1$ and $\theta_2 : G_2 \to H_2$. Define $\phi : G_1 \times G_2 \to H_1 \times H_2$ by $\phi((x_1, x_2)) = (\theta_1(x_1), \theta_2(x_2))$, for all $(x_1, x_2) \in G_1 \times G_2$.

Claim: ϕ is a group isomorphism. (Check it!)

Example 14 (Is $Z_4 \times Z_{10}$ isomorphic to $Z_2 \times Z_{20}$? Yes!)

By Theorem 11, we have $Z_{10} \cong Z_2 \times Z_5$ and $Z_{20} \cong Z_4 \times Z_5$. By Lemma 13, we then have $Z_4 \times Z_{10} \cong Z_4 \times Z_2 \times Z_5$ and $Z_2 \times Z_{20} \cong Z_2 \times Z_4 \times Z_5$. Finally, it is easy to see that $Z_4 \times Z_2 \times Z_5 \cong Z_2 \times Z_4 \times Z_5$. (What is ϕ ?)

Example 15 (Is $Z_4 \times Z_{15}$ isomorphic to $Z_6 \times Z_{10}$? No!)

Similarly, $\mathbf{Z}_4 \times \mathbf{Z}_{15} \cong \mathbf{Z}_4 \times \mathbf{Z}_3 \times \mathbf{Z}_5$ and $\mathbf{Z}_6 \times \mathbf{Z}_{10} \cong \mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_3 \times \mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_5$.

Lemma 13

If $G_1 \cong H_1$ and $G_2 \cong H_2$, then $G_1 \times G_2 \cong H_1 \times H_2$. (What is ϕ ?)

Let $\theta_1 : G_1 \to H_1$ and $\theta_2 : G_2 \to H_2$. Define $\phi : G_1 \times G_2 \to H_1 \times H_2$ by $\phi((x_1, x_2)) = (\theta_1(x_1), \theta_2(x_2))$, for all $(x_1, x_2) \in G_1 \times G_2$.

Claim: ϕ is a group isomorphism. (Check it!)

Example 14 (Is $Z_4 \times Z_{10}$ isomorphic to $Z_2 \times Z_{20}$? Yes!)

By Theorem 11, we have $Z_{10} \cong Z_2 \times Z_5$ and $Z_{20} \cong Z_4 \times Z_5$. By Lemma 13, we then have $Z_4 \times Z_{10} \cong Z_4 \times Z_2 \times Z_5$ and $Z_2 \times Z_{20} \cong Z_2 \times Z_4 \times Z_5$. Finally, it is easy to see that $Z_4 \times Z_2 \times Z_5 \cong Z_2 \times Z_4 \times Z_5$. (What is ϕ ?)

Example 15 (Is $Z_4 \times Z_{15}$ isomorphic to $Z_6 \times Z_{10}$? No!)

Similarly, $Z_4 \times Z_{15} \cong Z_4 \times Z_3 \times Z_5$ and $Z_6 \times Z_{10} \cong Z_2 \times Z_3 \times Z_2 \times Z_5$. The first has an element of order 4, while the second has none.

If G is a finite group, then each element of G must have finite order.

If G is a finite group, then each element of G must have finite order. If N is the least common multiple of the integers o(a), for all $a \in G$, then

$$a^N = e$$
 for all $a \in G$.

If G is a finite group, then each element of G must have finite order. If N is the least common multiple of the integers o(a), for all $a \in G$, then

$$a^N = e$$
 for all $a \in G$.

Since o(a) is a divisor of |G| for any $a \in G$, and so N is a divisor of |G|.

Definition 16

If G is a finite group, then each element of G must have finite order. If N is the least common multiple of the integers o(a), for all $a \in G$, then

$$a^N = e$$
 for all $a \in G$.

Since o(a) is a divisor of |G| for any $a \in G$, and so N is a divisor of |G|.

Definition 16

Let G be a group. If there exists a $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $a^N = e$ for all $a \in G$, then the smallest such positive integer is called the **exponent** of G.

Example 17

If G is a finite group, then each element of G must have finite order. If N is the least common multiple of the integers o(a), for all $a \in G$, then

 $a^N = e$ for all $a \in G$.

Since o(a) is a divisor of |G| for any $a \in G$, and so N is a divisor of |G|.

Definition 16

Let G be a group. If there exists a $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $a^N = e$ for all $a \in G$, then the smallest such positive integer is called the **exponent** of G.

Example 17

The exponent of any finite group is the least common multiple of the orders of its elements.

If G is a finite group, then each element of G must have finite order. If N is the least common multiple of the integers o(a), for all $a \in G$, then

 $a^N = e$ for all $a \in G$.

Since o(a) is a divisor of |G| for any $a \in G$, and so N is a divisor of |G|.

Definition 16

Let G be a group. If there exists a $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $a^N = e$ for all $a \in G$, then the smallest such positive integer is called the **exponent** of G.

Example 17

The exponent of any finite group is the least common multiple of the orders of its elements. Thus the exponent of S_3 is 6. (Why?)

If G is a finite group, then each element of G must have finite order. If N is the least common multiple of the integers o(a), for all $a \in G$, then

 $a^N = e$ for all $a \in G$.

Since o(a) is a divisor of |G| for any $a \in G$, and so N is a divisor of |G|.

Definition 16

Let G be a group. If there exists a $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $a^N = e$ for all $a \in G$, then the smallest such positive integer is called the **exponent** of G.

Example 17

The exponent of any finite group is the least common multiple of the orders of its elements. Thus the exponent of S_3 is 6. (Why?) The exponent of $Z_2 \times Z_2$ is 2. (Why?)

If G is a finite group, then each element of G must have finite order. If N is the least common multiple of the integers o(a), for all $a \in G$, then

 $a^N = e$ for all $a \in G$.

Since o(a) is a divisor of |G| for any $a \in G$, and so N is a divisor of |G|.

Definition 16

Let G be a group. If there exists a $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $a^N = e$ for all $a \in G$, then the smallest such positive integer is called the **exponent** of G.

Example 17

The exponent of any finite group is the least common multiple of the orders of its elements. Thus the exponent of S_3 is 6. (Why?) The exponent of $Z_2 \times Z_2$ is 2. (Why?) The exponent of $Z_2 \times Z_3$ is 6. (Why?)

Characterize cyclic groups among all finite abelian groups

Lemma 18 (Question (12) in Homework 3)

Characterize cyclic groups among all finite abelian groups

Lemma 18 (Question (12) in Homework 3)

Let G be a group, and let $a, b \in G$ be elements such that ab = ba. If the orders of a and b are relatively prime, then o(ab) = o(a)o(b).

Characterize cyclic groups among all finite abelian groups

Lemma 18 (Question (12) in Homework 3)

Let G be a group, and let $a, b \in G$ be elements such that ab = ba. If the orders of a and b are relatively prime, then o(ab) = o(a)o(b).

Let o(a) = n and o(b) = m. Then $(ab)^{mn} = e$. (Why?)
Lemma 18 (Question (12) in Homework 3)

Let G be a group, and let $a, b \in G$ be elements such that ab = ba. If the orders of a and b are relatively prime, then o(ab) = o(a)o(b).

Let o(a) = n and o(b) = m. Then $(ab)^{mn} = e$. (Why?) Say o(ab) = k, then k|mn. On the other hand,

Lemma 18 (Question (12) in Homework 3)

Let G be a group, and let $a, b \in G$ be elements such that ab = ba. If the orders of a and b are relatively prime, then o(ab) = o(a)o(b).

Lemma 18 (Question (12) in Homework 3)

Let G be a group, and let $a, b \in G$ be elements such that ab = ba. If the orders of a and b are relatively prime, then o(ab) = o(a)o(b).

Let o(a) = n and o(b) = m. Then $(ab)^{mn} = e$. (Why?) Say o(ab) = k, then k|mn. On the other hand, $(ab)^k = e \Rightarrow a^k = b^{-k}$. (Why?) Therefore

Cyclic Groups

 $a^{km} =$

Yi

Lemma 18 (Question (12) in Homework 3)

Let G be a group, and let $a, b \in G$ be elements such that ab = ba. If the orders of a and b are relatively prime, then o(ab) = o(a)o(b).

$$a^{km} = (a^k)^m =$$

Lemma 18 (Question (12) in Homework 3)

Let G be a group, and let $a, b \in G$ be elements such that ab = ba. If the orders of a and b are relatively prime, then o(ab) = o(a)o(b).

$$a^{km} = (a^k)^m = (b^{-k})^m =$$

Lemma 18 (Question (12) in Homework 3)

Let G be a group, and let $a, b \in G$ be elements such that ab = ba. If the orders of a and b are relatively prime, then o(ab) = o(a)o(b).

$$a^{km} = (a^k)^m = (b^{-k})^m = (b^m)^{-k} =$$

Lemma 18 (Question (12) in Homework 3)

Let G be a group, and let $a, b \in G$ be elements such that ab = ba. If the orders of a and b are relatively prime, then o(ab) = o(a)o(b).

$$a^{km}=(a^k)^m=(b^{-k})^m=(b^m)^{-k}=e$$

Lemma 18 (Question (12) in Homework 3)

Let G be a group, and let $a, b \in G$ be elements such that ab = ba. If the orders of a and b are relatively prime, then o(ab) = o(a)o(b).

$$a^{km}=(a^k)^m=(b^{-k})^m=(b^m)^{-k}=e\Rightarrow n|km\Rightarrow$$

Lemma 18 (Question (12) in Homework 3)

Let G be a group, and let $a, b \in G$ be elements such that ab = ba. If the orders of a and b are relatively prime, then o(ab) = o(a)o(b).

$$a^{km} = (a^k)^m = (b^{-k})^m = (b^m)^{-k} = e \Rightarrow n | km \Rightarrow n | k$$
 (Why?)

Lemma 18 (Question (12) in Homework 3)

Let G be a group, and let $a, b \in G$ be elements such that ab = ba. If the orders of a and b are relatively prime, then o(ab) = o(a)o(b).

Let o(a) = n and o(b) = m. Then $(ab)^{mn} = e$. (Why?) Say o(ab) = k, then k|mn. On the other hand, $(ab)^k = e \Rightarrow a^k = b^{-k}$. (Why?) Therefore

$$a^{km}=(a^k)^m=(b^{-k})^m=(b^m)^{-k}=e\Rightarrow n|km\Rightarrow n|k ext{ (Why?)}$$

A similar argument shows that m|k,

Let G be a group, and let $a, b \in G$ be elements such that ab = ba. If the orders of a and b are relatively prime, then o(ab) = o(a)o(b).

Let o(a) = n and o(b) = m. Then $(ab)^{mn} = e$. (Why?) Say o(ab) = k, then k|mn. On the other hand, $(ab)^k = e \Rightarrow a^k = b^{-k}$. (Why?) Therefore

$$a^{km}=(a^k)^m=(b^{-k})^m=(b^m)^{-k}=e \Rightarrow n|km \Rightarrow n|k ext{ (Why?)}$$

A similar argument shows that m|k, and then mn|k (Why?).

Let G be a group, and let $a, b \in G$ be elements such that ab = ba. If the orders of a and b are relatively prime, then o(ab) = o(a)o(b).

Let o(a) = n and o(b) = m. Then $(ab)^{mn} = e$. (Why?) Say o(ab) = k, then k|mn. On the other hand, $(ab)^k = e \Rightarrow a^k = b^{-k}$. (Why?) Therefore

$$a^{km}=(a^k)^m=(b^{-k})^m=(b^m)^{-k}=e\Rightarrow n|km\Rightarrow n|k ext{ (Why?)}$$

A similar argument shows that m|k, and then mn|k (Why?). So k = mn.

Proposition 2 (Let G be a finite abelian group.)

Let G be a group, and let $a, b \in G$ be elements such that ab = ba. If the orders of a and b are relatively prime, then o(ab) = o(a)o(b).

Let o(a) = n and o(b) = m. Then $(ab)^{mn} = e$. (Why?) Say o(ab) = k, then k|mn. On the other hand, $(ab)^k = e \Rightarrow a^k = b^{-k}$. (Why?) Therefore

$$a^{km}=(a^k)^m=(b^{-k})^m=(b^m)^{-k}=e\Rightarrow n|km\Rightarrow n|k ext{ (Why?)}$$

A similar argument shows that m|k, and then mn|k (Why?). So k = mn.

Proposition 2 (Let G be a finite abelian group.)

(a) The exponent of G is equal to the order of any element of G of largest order.

Let G be a group, and let $a, b \in G$ be elements such that ab = ba. If the orders of a and b are relatively prime, then o(ab) = o(a)o(b).

Let o(a) = n and o(b) = m. Then $(ab)^{mn} = e$. (Why?) Say o(ab) = k, then k|mn. On the other hand, $(ab)^k = e \Rightarrow a^k = b^{-k}$. (Why?) Therefore

$$a^{km}=(a^k)^m=(b^{-k})^m=(b^m)^{-k}=e\Rightarrow n|km\Rightarrow n|k ext{ (Why?)}$$

A similar argument shows that m|k, and then mn|k (Why?). So k = mn.

Proposition 2 (Let G be a finite abelian group.)

(a) The exponent of G is equal to the order of any element of G of largest order.(b) The group G is cyclic if and only if its exponent is equal to its order.

Let G be a group, and let $a, b \in G$ be elements such that ab = ba. If the orders of a and b are relatively prime, then o(ab) = o(a)o(b).

Let o(a) = n and o(b) = m. Then $(ab)^{mn} = e$. (Why?) Say o(ab) = k, then k|mn. On the other hand, $(ab)^k = e \Rightarrow a^k = b^{-k}$. (Why?) Therefore

$$a^{km}=(a^k)^m=(b^{-k})^m=(b^m)^{-k}=e\Rightarrow n|km\Rightarrow n|k ext{ (Why?)}$$

A similar argument shows that m|k, and then mn|k (Why?). So k = mn.

Proposition 2 (Let G be a finite abelian group.)

(a) The exponent of G is equal to the order of any element of G of largest order.(b) The group G is cyclic if and only if its exponent is equal to its order.

Using this concept of the "**exponent**" of a group, we just characterize cyclic groups among all finite abelian groups in Proposition 2 (b).

(a) Assume o(a) has the largest order. To show o(a) = the exponent of G.

(a) Assume o(a) has the largest order. To show o(a) = the exponent of G.
 Proof by contradiction:

(a) Assume o(a) has the largest order. To show o(a) =the exponent of G. Proof by contradiction: Let b ∈ G and suppose that o(b) is not a divisor of o(a).

(a) Assume o(a) has the largest order. To show o(a) =the exponent of G. Proof by contradiction: Let b ∈ G and suppose that o(b) is not a divisor of o(a). Then there exists a prime p with o(a) = p^αn and o(b) = p^βm, where (p, n) = (p, m) = 1 and β > α ≥ 0. (Why?)

(a) Assume o(a) has the largest order. To show o(a) =the exponent of G. Proof by contradiction: Let b ∈ G and suppose that o(b) is not a divisor of o(a). Then there exists a prime p with o(a) = p^αn and o(b) = p^βm, where (p, n) = (p, m) = 1 and β > α ≥ 0. (Why?) Then o(a^{p^α}) = n and o(b^m) = p^β,

(a) Assume o(a) has the largest order. To show o(a) =the exponent of G. Proof by contradiction: Let b∈ G and suppose that o(b) is not a divisor of o(a). Then there exists a prime p with o(a) = p^αn and o(b) = p^βm, where (p, n) = (p, m) = 1 and β > α ≥ 0. (Why?) Then o(a^{p^α}) = n and o(b^m) = p^β, so these orders are relatively prime.

(a) Assume o(a) has the largest order. To show o(a) =the exponent of G. Proof by contradiction: Let b∈ G and suppose that o(b) is not a divisor of o(a). Then there exists a prime p with o(a) = p^αn and o(b) = p^βm, where (p, n) = (p, m) = 1 and β > α ≥ 0. (Why?) Then o(a^{p^α}) = n and o(b^m) = p^β, so these orders are relatively prime. It follows from Lemma 18 that o(a^{p^α}b^m) = np^β

(a) Assume o(a) has the largest order. To show o(a) =the exponent of G. Proof by contradiction: Let b∈ G and suppose that o(b) is not a divisor of o(a). Then there exists a prime p with o(a) = p^αn and o(b) = p^βm, where (p, n) = (p, m) = 1 and β > α ≥ 0. (Why?) Then o(a^{p^α}) = n and o(b^m) = p^β, so these orders are relatively prime. It follows from Lemma 18 that o(a^{p^α}b^m) = np^β > o(a) : contradiction.

(a) Assume o(a) has the largest order. To show o(a) =the exponent of G. Proof by contradiction: Let b∈ G and suppose that o(b) is not a divisor of o(a). Then there exists a prime p with o(a) = p^αn and o(b) = p^βm, where (p, n) = (p, m) = 1 and β > α ≥ 0. (Why?) Then o(a^{p^α}) = n and o(b^m) = p^β, so these orders are relatively prime. It follows from Lemma 18 that o(a^{p^α}b^m) = np^β > o(a) : contradiction. Thus o(b)|o(a) for all b ∈ G,

(a) Assume o(a) has the largest order. To show o(a) =the exponent of G. Proof by contradiction: Let b∈ G and suppose that o(b) is not a divisor of o(a). Then there exists a prime p with o(a) = p^αn and o(b) = p^βm, where (p, n) = (p, m) = 1 and β > α ≥ 0. (Why?) Then o(a^{p^α}) = n and o(b^m) = p^β, so these orders are relatively prime. It follows from Lemma 18 that o(a^{p^α}b^m) = np^β > o(a) : contradiction. Thus o(b)|o(a) for all b∈ G, and o(a) is therefore the exponent of G.

(a) Assume o(a) has the largest order. To show o(a) =the exponent of G. Proof by contradiction: Let b∈ G and suppose that o(b) is not a divisor of o(a). Then there exists a prime p with o(a) = p^αn and o(b) = p^βm, where (p, n) = (p, m) = 1 and β > α ≥ 0. (Why?) Then o(a^{p^α}) = n and o(b^m) = p^β, so these orders are relatively prime. It follows from Lemma 18 that o(a^{p^α}b^m) = np^β > o(a) : contradiction. Thus o(b)|o(a) for all b∈ G, and o(a) is therefore the exponent of G.
(b) Part (b) follows immediately from part (a). (Why?)

(a) Assume o(a) has the largest order. To show o(a) =the exponent of G. Proof by contradiction: Let b∈ G and suppose that o(b) is not a divisor of o(a). Then there exists a prime p with o(a) = p^αn and o(b) = p^βm, where (p, n) = (p, m) = 1 and β > α ≥ 0. (Why?) Then o(a^{p^α}) = n and o(b^m) = p^β, so these orders are relatively prime. It follows from Lemma 18 that o(a^{p^α}b^m) = np^β > o(a) : contradiction. Thus o(b)|o(a) for all b∈ G, and o(a) is therefore the exponent of G.
(b) Part (b) follows immediately from part (a). (Why?) G is cyclic if and only if there exists an element of order |G|.

Question 3

Yi

(a) Assume o(a) has the largest order. To show o(a) =the exponent of G. Proof by contradiction: Let b∈ G and suppose that o(b) is not a divisor of o(a). Then there exists a prime p with o(a) = p^αn and o(b) = p^βm, where (p, n) = (p, m) = 1 and β > α ≥ 0. (Why?) Then o(a^{p^α}) = n and o(b^m) = p^β, so these orders are relatively prime. It follows from Lemma 18 that o(a^{p^α}b^m) = np^β > o(a) : contradiction. Thus o(b)|o(a) for all b∈ G, and o(a) is therefore the exponent of G.
(b) Part (b) follows immediately from part (a). (Why?)

G is cyclic if and only if there exists an element of order |G|.

Question 3

When is \mathbf{Z}_n^{\times} cyclic?

(a) Assume o(a) has the largest order. To show o(a) =the exponent of G. Proof by contradiction: Let b∈ G and suppose that o(b) is not a divisor of o(a). Then there exists a prime p with o(a) = p^αn and o(b) = p^βm, where (p, n) = (p, m) = 1 and β > α ≥ 0. (Why?) Then o(a^{p^α}) = n and o(b^m) = p^β, so these orders are relatively prime. It follows from Lemma 18 that o(a^{p^α}b^m) = np^β > o(a) : contradiction. Thus o(b)|o(a) for all b∈ G, and o(a) is therefore the exponent of G.
(b) Part (b) follows immediately from part (a). (Why?)

G is cyclic if and only if there exists an element of order |G|.

Question 3

When is \mathbf{Z}_n^{\times} cyclic?

Theorem 19 (The Primitive Root Theorem)

 \mathbf{Z}_n^{\times} is cyclic if and only if $n = 1, 2, 4, p^k$ or $2p^k$ where p is any odd prime.

(a) Assume o(a) has the largest order. To show o(a) =the exponent of G. Proof by contradiction: Let b∈ G and suppose that o(b) is not a divisor of o(a). Then there exists a prime p with o(a) = p^αn and o(b) = p^βm, where (p, n) = (p, m) = 1 and β > α ≥ 0. (Why?) Then o(a^{p^α}) = n and o(b^m) = p^β, so these orders are relatively prime. It follows from Lemma 18 that o(a^{p^α}b^m) = np^β > o(a) : contradiction. Thus o(b)|o(a) for all b∈ G, and o(a) is therefore the exponent of G.
(b) Part (b) follows immediately from part (a). (Why?)

G is cyclic if and only if there exists an element of order |G|.

Question 3

When is \mathbf{Z}_n^{\times} cyclic?

Theorem 19 (The Primitive Root Theorem)

 \mathbf{Z}_n^{\times} is cyclic if and only if $n = 1, 2, 4, p^k$ or $2p^k$ where p is any odd prime.

However, we won't prove or use this theorem in this course.

$\textbf{Z}_{15}^{\times} = \{[1], [2], [4], [7], [8], [11], [13], [14]\} =$

$\textbf{Z}_{15}^{\times} = \{[1], [2], [4], [7], [8], [11], [13], [14]\} = \{\pm [1], \pm [2], \pm [4], \pm [7]\}.$

 $\textbf{Z}_{15}^{\times} = \{[1], [2], [4], [7], [8], [11], [13], [14]\} = \{\pm [1], \pm [2], \pm [4], \pm [7]\}.$

Since $|\mathbf{Z}_{15}^{\times}| = 8$, we need to check if there is an element of order 8 or not.

Note 4

 $\textbf{Z}_{15}^{\times} = \{[1], [2], [4], [7], [8], [11], [13], [14]\} = \{\pm [1], \pm [2], \pm [4], \pm [7]\}.$

Since $|\mathbf{Z}_{15}^{\times}| = 8$, we need to check if there is an element of order 8 or not.

Note 4

• If o([2]) = 8, then it means that the group is cyclic.
$\textbf{Z}_{15}^{\times} = \{[1], [2], [4], [7], [8], [11], [13], [14]\} = \{\pm [1], \pm [2], \pm [4], \pm [7]\}.$

Since $|\mathbf{Z}_{15}^{\times}| = 8$, we need to check if there is an element of order 8 or not.

- If o([2]) = 8, then it means that the group is cyclic.
- If o([2]) ≠ 8, then we need to try other elements, until we either find one that has order 8, or

 $\textbf{Z}_{15}^{\times} = \{[1], [2], [4], [7], [8], [11], [13], [14]\} = \{\pm [1], \pm [2], \pm [4], \pm [7]\}.$

Since $|\mathbf{Z}_{15}^{\times}| = 8$, we need to check if there is an element of order 8 or not.

- If o([2]) = 8, then it means that the group is cyclic.
- If o([2]) ≠ 8, then we need to try other elements, until we either find one that has order 8, or exhaust all the possible elements and show that neither one of them has order 8, i.e.,

 $\textbf{Z}_{15}^{\times} = \{[1], [2], [4], [7], [8], [11], [13], [14]\} = \{\pm [1], \pm [2], \pm [4], \pm [7]\}.$

Since $|\mathbf{Z}_{15}^{\times}| = 8$, we need to check if there is an element of order 8 or not.

- If o([2]) = 8, then it means that the group is cyclic.
- If o([2]) ≠ 8, then we need to try other elements, until we either find one that has order 8, or exhaust all the possible elements and show that neither one of them has order 8, i.e., the group is not cyclic.

 $\textbf{Z}_{15}^{\times} = \{[1], [2], [4], [7], [8], [11], [13], [14]\} = \{\pm [1], \pm [2], \pm [4], \pm [7]\}.$

Since $|\mathbf{Z}_{15}^{\times}| = 8$, we need to check if there is an element of order 8 or not.

- If o([2]) = 8, then it means that the group is cyclic.
- If o([2]) ≠ 8, then we need to try other elements, until we either find one that has order 8, or exhaust all the possible elements and show that neither one of them has order 8, i.e., the group is not cyclic.

(i)
$$[2]^2 = [4], [2]^3 = [8], [2]^4 = [16] = [1],$$

 $\textbf{Z}_{15}^{\times} = \{[1], [2], [4], [7], [8], [11], [13], [14]\} = \{\pm [1], \pm [2], \pm [4], \pm [7]\}.$

Since $|\mathbf{Z}_{15}^{\times}| = 8$, we need to check if there is an element of order 8 or not.

Note 4

- If o([2]) = 8, then it means that the group is cyclic.
- If o([2]) ≠ 8, then we need to try other elements, until we either find one that has order 8, or exhaust all the possible elements and show that neither one of them has order 8, i.e., the group is not cyclic.

(i)
$$[2]^2 = [4], [2]^3 = [8], [2]^4 = [16] = [1], \text{ so } o([2]) = 4.$$

Yi

 $\textbf{Z}_{15}^{\times} = \{[1], [2], [4], [7], [8], [11], [13], [14]\} = \{\pm [1], \pm [2], \pm [4], \pm [7]\}.$

Since $|\mathbf{Z}_{15}^{\times}| = 8$, we need to check if there is an element of order 8 or not.

- If o([2]) = 8, then it means that the group is cyclic.
- If o([2]) ≠ 8, then we need to try other elements, until we either find one that has order 8, or exhaust all the possible elements and show that neither one of them has order 8, i.e., the group is not cyclic.

(i)
$$[2]^2 = [4], [2]^3 = [8], [2]^4 = [16] = [1]$$
, so $o([2]) = 4$.
(ii) There is no need to try [4], [8]. (Why?) [

 ${\pmb {\sf Z}}_{15}^{\times}=\{[1],[2],[4],[7],[8],[11],[13],[14]\}=\{\pm[1],\pm[2],\pm[4],\pm[7]\}.$

Since $|\mathbf{Z}_{15}^{\times}| = 8$, we need to check if there is an element of order 8 or not.

- If o([2]) = 8, then it means that the group is cyclic.
- If o([2]) ≠ 8, then we need to try other elements, until we either find one that has order 8, or exhaust all the possible elements and show that neither one of them has order 8, i.e., the group is not cyclic.
- (i) $[2]^2 = [4], [2]^3 = [8], [2]^4 = [16] = [1]$, so o([2]) = 4. (ii) There is no need to try [4], [8]. (Why?) [\because [4], [8] $\in \langle [2] \rangle$]

 $\textbf{Z}_{15}^{\times} = \{[1], [2], [4], [7], [8], [11], [13], [14]\} = \{\pm [1], \pm [2], \pm [4], \pm [7]\}.$

Since $|\mathbf{Z}_{15}^{\times}| = 8$, we need to check if there is an element of order 8 or not.

- If o([2]) = 8, then it means that the group is cyclic.
- If o([2]) ≠ 8, then we need to try other elements, until we either find one that has order 8, or exhaust all the possible elements and show that neither one of them has order 8, i.e., the group is not cyclic.

(i)
$$[2]^2 = [4], [2]^3 = [8], [2]^4 = [16] = [1], \text{ so } o([2]) = 4.$$

(ii) There is no need to try [4], [8]. (Why?) [:: [4], [8] $\in \langle [2] \rangle$]
(iii) $[7]^2 = [49] = [4], [7]^3 = [28] = [13], [7]^4 = [91] = [1],$

 $\textbf{Z}_{15}^{\times} = \{[1], [2], [4], [7], [8], [11], [13], [14]\} = \{\pm [1], \pm [2], \pm [4], \pm [7]\}.$

Since $|\mathbf{Z}_{15}^{\times}| = 8$, we need to check if there is an element of order 8 or not.

- If o([2]) = 8, then it means that the group is cyclic.
- If o([2]) ≠ 8, then we need to try other elements, until we either find one that has order 8, or exhaust all the possible elements and show that neither one of them has order 8, i.e., the group is not cyclic.

(i)
$$[2]^2 = [4], [2]^3 = [8], [2]^4 = [16] = [1], \text{ so } o([2]) = 4.$$

(ii) There is no need to try [4], [8]. (Why?) [\because [4], [8] $\in \langle [2] \rangle$]
(iii) $[7]^2 = [49] = [4], [7]^3 = [28] = [13], [7]^4 = [91] = [1], \text{ so } o([7]) = 4.$

 $\textbf{Z}_{15}^{\times} = \{[1], [2], [4], [7], [8], [11], [13], [14]\} = \{\pm [1], \pm [2], \pm [4], \pm [7]\}.$

Since $|\mathbf{Z}_{15}^{\times}| = 8$, we need to check if there is an element of order 8 or not.

- If o([2]) = 8, then it means that the group is cyclic.
- If o([2]) ≠ 8, then we need to try other elements, until we either find one that has order 8, or exhaust all the possible elements and show that neither one of them has order 8, i.e., the group is not cyclic.

(i)
$$[2]^2 = [4], [2]^3 = [8], [2]^4 = [16] = [1], \text{ so } o([2]) = 4.$$

(ii) There is no need to try [4], [8]. (Why?) [\therefore [4], [8] $\in \langle [2] \rangle$]
(iii) $[7]^2 = [49] = [4], [7]^3 = [28] = [13], [7]^4 = [91] = [1], \text{ so } o([7]) = 4.$
(iv) $[11]^2 = [121] = [1]$ (or $[11]^2 = ([-4])^2 = [16] = [1]$),

 $\textbf{Z}_{15}^{\times} = \{[1], [2], [4], [7], [8], [11], [13], [14]\} = \{\pm [1], \pm [2], \pm [4], \pm [7]\}.$

Since $|\mathbf{Z}_{15}^{\times}| = 8$, we need to check if there is an element of order 8 or not.

- If o([2]) = 8, then it means that the group is cyclic.
- If o([2]) ≠ 8, then we need to try other elements, until we either find one that has order 8, or exhaust all the possible elements and show that neither one of them has order 8, i.e., the group is not cyclic.

(i)
$$[2]^2 = [4], [2]^3 = [8], [2]^4 = [16] = [1], \text{ so } o([2]) = 4.$$

(ii) There is no need to try [4], [8]. (Why?) [:: [4], [8] $\in \langle [2] \rangle$]
(iii) $[7]^2 = [49] = [4], [7]^3 = [28] = [13], [7]^4 = [91] = [1], \text{ so } o([7]) = 4.$
(iv) $[11]^2 = [121] = [1]$ (or $[11]^2 = ([-4])^2 = [16] = [1]$), so $o([11]) = 2.$

 $\textbf{Z}_{15}^{\times} = \{[1], [2], [4], [7], [8], [11], [13], [14]\} = \{\pm [1], \pm [2], \pm [4], \pm [7]\}.$

Since $|\mathbf{Z}_{15}^{\times}| = 8$, we need to check if there is an element of order 8 or not.

- If o([2]) = 8, then it means that the group is cyclic.
- If o([2]) ≠ 8, then we need to try other elements, until we either find one that has order 8, or exhaust all the possible elements and show that neither one of them has order 8, i.e., the group is not cyclic.

(i)
$$[2]^2 = [4], [2]^3 = [8], [2]^4 = [16] = [1]$$
, so $o([2]) = 4$.
(ii) There is no need to try [4], [8]. (Why?) [\because [4], [8] $\in \langle [2] \rangle$]
(iii) $[7]^2 = [49] = [4], [7]^3 = [28] = [13], [7]^4 = [91] = [1]$, so $o([7]) = 4$.
(iv) $[11]^2 = [121] = [1]$ (or $[11]^2 = ([-4])^2 = [16] = [1]$), so $o([11]) = 2$.
(v) $[13] = -[2], [13]^4 = ([-2])^4 = [16] = [1]$,

 $\textbf{Z}_{15}^{\times} = \{[1], [2], [4], [7], [8], [11], [13], [14]\} = \{\pm [1], \pm [2], \pm [4], \pm [7]\}.$

Since $|\mathbf{Z}_{15}^{\times}| = 8$, we need to check if there is an element of order 8 or not.

- If o([2]) = 8, then it means that the group is cyclic.
- If o([2]) ≠ 8, then we need to try other elements, until we either find one that has order 8, or exhaust all the possible elements and show that neither one of them has order 8, i.e., the group is not cyclic.

(i)
$$[2]^2 = [4], [2]^3 = [8], [2]^4 = [16] = [1], \text{ so } o([2]) = 4.$$

(ii) There is no need to try [4], [8]. (Why?) $[\because [4], [8] \in \langle [2] \rangle$]
(iii) $[7]^2 = [49] = [4], [7]^3 = [28] = [13], [7]^4 = [91] = [1], \text{ so } o([7]) = 4.$
(iv) $[11]^2 = [121] = [1]$ (or $[11]^2 = ([-4])^2 = [16] = [1]$), so $o([11]) = 2.$
(v) $[13] = -[2], [13]^4 = ([-2])^4 = [16] = [1], \text{ so } o([13]) \le 4 \because$

 $\textbf{Z}_{15}^{\times} = \{[1], [2], [4], [7], [8], [11], [13], [14]\} = \{\pm [1], \pm [2], \pm [4], \pm [7]\}.$

Since $|\mathbf{Z}_{15}^{\times}| = 8$, we need to check if there is an element of order 8 or not.

- If o([2]) = 8, then it means that the group is cyclic.
- If o([2]) ≠ 8, then we need to try other elements, until we either find one that has order 8, or exhaust all the possible elements and show that neither one of them has order 8, i.e., the group is not cyclic.

(i)
$$[2]^2 = [4], [2]^3 = [8], [2]^4 = [16] = [1], \text{ so } o([2]) = 4.$$

(ii) There is no need to try [4], [8]. (Why?) [\because [4], [8] $\in \langle [2] \rangle$]
(iii) $[7]^2 = [49] = [4], [7]^3 = [28] = [13], [7]^4 = [91] = [1], \text{ so } o([7]) = 4.$
(iv) $[11]^2 = [121] = [1]$ (or $[11]^2 = ([-4])^2 = [16] = [1]$), so $o([11]) = 2.$
(v) $[13] = -[2], [13]^4 = ([-2])^4 = [16] = [1], \text{ so } o([13]) \le 4 \because o([13]) | 4.$

 ${\pmb {\sf Z}}_{15}^{\times}=\{[1],[2],[4],[7],[8],[11],[13],[14]\}=\{\pm[1],\pm[2],\pm[4],\pm[7]\}.$

Since $|\mathbf{Z}_{15}^{\times}| = 8$, we need to check if there is an element of order 8 or not.

- If o([2]) = 8, then it means that the group is cyclic.
- If o([2]) ≠ 8, then we need to try other elements, until we either find one that has order 8, or exhaust all the possible elements and show that neither one of them has order 8, i.e., the group is not cyclic.

(i)
$$[2]^2 = [4], [2]^3 = [8], [2]^4 = [16] = [1], \text{ so } o([2]) = 4.$$

(ii) There is no need to try [4], [8]. (Why?) [\cdot [4], [8] $\in \langle [2] \rangle$]
(iii) $[7]^2 = [49] = [4], [7]^3 = [28] = [13], [7]^4 = [91] = [1], \text{ so } o([7]) = 4.$
(iv) $[11]^2 = [121] = [1]$ (or $[11]^2 = ([-4])^2 = [16] = [1]$), so $o([11]) = 2.$
(v) $[13] = -[2], [13]^4 = ([-2])^4 = [16] = [1], \text{ so } o([13]) \le 4 \because o([13])|4.$
(vi) $[14] = [-1], [14]^2 = [1],$

 ${\pmb {\sf Z}}_{15}^{\times}=\{[1],[2],[4],[7],[8],[11],[13],[14]\}=\{\pm[1],\pm[2],\pm[4],\pm[7]\}.$

Since $|\mathbf{Z}_{15}^{\times}| = 8$, we need to check if there is an element of order 8 or not.

- If o([2]) = 8, then it means that the group is cyclic.
- If o([2]) ≠ 8, then we need to try other elements, until we either find one that has order 8, or exhaust all the possible elements and show that neither one of them has order 8, i.e., the group is not cyclic.

(i)
$$[2]^2 = [4], [2]^3 = [8], [2]^4 = [16] = [1]$$
, so $o([2]) = 4$.
(ii) There is no need to try [4], [8]. (Why?) [\because [4], [8] $\in \langle [2] \rangle$]
(iii) $[7]^2 = [49] = [4], [7]^3 = [28] = [13], [7]^4 = [91] = [1]$, so $o([7]) = 4$.
(iv) $[11]^2 = [121] = [1]$ (or $[11]^2 = ([-4])^2 = [16] = [1]$), so $o([11]) = 2$.
(v) $[13] = -[2], [13]^4 = ([-2])^4 = [16] = [1]$, so $o([13]) \le 4 \because o([13])|4$.
(vi) $[14] = [-1], [14]^2 = [1]$, so $o([14]) = 2$.

 $\textbf{Z}_{15}^{\times} = \{[1], [2], [4], [7], [8], [11], [13], [14]\} = \{\pm [1], \pm [2], \pm [4], \pm [7]\}.$

Since $|\mathbf{Z}_{15}^{\times}| = 8$, we need to check if there is an element of order 8 or not.

Note 4

- If o([2]) = 8, then it means that the group is cyclic.
- If o([2]) ≠ 8, then we need to try other elements, until we either find one that has order 8, or exhaust all the possible elements and show that neither one of them has order 8, i.e., the group is not cyclic.

(i)
$$[2]^2 = [4], [2]^3 = [8], [2]^4 = [16] = [1], \text{ so } o([2]) = 4.$$

(ii) There is no need to try [4], [8]. (Why?) [\because [4], [8] $\in \langle [2] \rangle$]
(iii) $[7]^2 = [49] = [4], [7]^3 = [28] = [13], [7]^4 = [91] = [1], \text{ so } o([7]) = 4.$
(iv) $[11]^2 = [121] = [1]$ (or $[11]^2 = ([-4])^2 = [16] = [1]$), so $o([11]) = 2.$
(v) $[13] = -[2], [13]^4 = ([-2])^4 = [16] = [1], \text{ so } o([13]) \le 4 \because o([13]) | 4.$
(v) $[14] = [-1], [14]^2 = [1], \text{ so } o([14]) = 2.$

In conclusion, there is no element of order 8, thus the group is not cyclic.

I.
$$|\mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times}| = |\mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times}| = 6.$$

I. $|\mathbf{Z}_7^{\times}| = |\mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times}| = 6$. In fact, we can list the elements of each group.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{I.} \ |\mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times}| = |\mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times}| = 6. \ \text{In fact, we can list the elements of each group.} \\ \mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times} = \{[1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]\} \qquad \mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times} = \{[1], [3], [5], [9], [11], [13]\} \end{array}$

- I. $|\mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times}| = |\mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times}| = 6$. In fact, we can list the elements of each group. $\mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times} = \{[1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]\}$ $\mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times} = \{[1], [3], [5], [9], [11], [13]\}$
- II. (a) If both of them are cyclic, then they are isomorphic. (Why?)

I. $|\mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times}| = |\mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times}| = 6$. In fact, we can list the elements of each group. $\mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times} = \{[1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]\}$ $\mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times} = \{[1], [3], [5], [9], [11], [13]\}$ II. (a) If both of them are cyclic, then they are isomorphic. (Why?) (b) If one is cyclic and the other is not, then they are not isomorphic. III. Check \mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times} :

 $\begin{array}{ll} |\mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times}| = |\mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times}| = 6. \mbox{ In fact, we can list the elements of each group.} \\ \mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times} = \{[1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]\} & \mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times} = \{[1], [3], [5], [9], [11], [13]\} \\ \mbox{II. (a) If both of them are cyclic, then they are isomorphic. (Why?) \\ (b) If one is cyclic and the other is not, then they are not isomorphic. \\ \mbox{III. Check } \mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times} : \\ (i) \ [2]^{2} = [4], [2]^{3} = [1], \end{array}$

|Z₇[×]| = |Z₁₄[×]| = 6. In fact, we can list the elements of each group.
 Z₇[×] = {[1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]} Z₁₄[×] = {[1], [3], [5], [9], [11], [13]}
 (a) If both of them are cyclic, then they are isomorphic. (Why?)
 (b) If one is cyclic and the other is not, then they are not isomorphic.
 Check Z₇[×]:

 (i) [2]² = [4], [2]³ = [1], so o([2]) = 3.

|Z₇[×]| = |Z₁₄[×]| = 6. In fact, we can list the elements of each group.
 Z₇[×] = {[1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]} Z₁₄[×] = {[1], [3], [5], [9], [11], [13]}
 (a) If both of them are cyclic, then they are isomorphic. (Why?)
 (b) If one is cyclic and the other is not, then they are not isomorphic.
 Check Z₇[×]:

 (i) [2]² = [4], [2]³ = [1], so o([2]) = 3.
 (ii) o([4]) = 3. (Why?) ●

|Z₇[×]| = |Z₁₄[×]| = 6. In fact, we can list the elements of each group.
 Z₇[×] = {[1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]} Z₁₄[×] = {[1], [3], [5], [9], [11], [13]}
 (a) If both of them are cyclic, then they are isomorphic. (Why?)
 (b) If one is cyclic and the other is not, then they are not isomorphic.
 Check Z₇[×]:

 (i) [2]² = [4], [2]³ = [1], so o([2]) = 3.
 (ii) o([4]) = 3. (Why?) • [4] ∈ ([2]) & •

|Z₇[×]| = |Z₁₄[×]| = 6. In fact, we can list the elements of each group.
 Z₇[×] = {[1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]} Z₁₄[×] = {[1], [3], [5], [9], [11], [13]}
 (a) If both of them are cyclic, then they are isomorphic. (Why?)
 (b) If one is cyclic and the other is not, then they are not isomorphic.
 Check Z₇[×]:

 (i) [2]² = [4], [2]³ = [1], so o([2]) = 3.
 (ii) o([4]) = 3. (Why?) • [4] ∈ \langle [2] \rangle & • Lagrange's Theorem.

 |Z₇[×]| = |Z₁₄[×]| = 6. In fact, we can list the elements of each group. Z₇[×] = {[1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]} Z₁₄[×] = {[1], [3], [5], [9], [11], [13]} II. (a) If both of them are cyclic, then they are isomorphic. (Why?) (b) If one is cyclic and the other is not, then they are not isomorphic.
 III. Check Z₇[×]: (i) [2]² = [4], [2]³ = [1], so o([2]) = 3. (ii) o([4]) = 3. (Why?) • [4] ∈ ⟨[2]⟩ & • Lagrange's Theorem. (iii) [3]² = [9] = [2], [3]³ = [6],

|Z₇[×]| = |Z₁₄[×]| = 6. In fact, we can list the elements of each group.
 Z₇[×] = {[1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]} Z₁₄[×] = {[1], [3], [5], [9], [11], [13]}
 (a) If both of them are cyclic, then they are isomorphic. (Why?)
 (b) If one is cyclic and the other is not, then they are not isomorphic.
 Check Z₇[×]:

 (i) [2]² = [4], [2]³ = [1], so o([2]) = 3.
 (ii) o([4]) = 3. (Why?) • [4] ∈ \langle [2] \rangle & • Lagrange's Theorem.
 (iii) [3]² = [9] = [2], [3]³ = [6], so o([3]) = 6. (Why?) [

 |Z₇[×]| = |Z₁₄[×]| = 6. In fact, we can list the elements of each group. Z₇[×] = {[1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]} Z₁₄[×] = {[1], [3], [5], [9], [11], [13]} II. (a) If both of them are cyclic, then they are isomorphic. (Why?) (b) If one is cyclic and the other is not, then they are not isomorphic.
 III. Check Z₇[×]: (i) [2]² = [4], [2]³ = [1], so o([2]) = 3. (ii) o([4]) = 3. (Why?) • [4] ∈ ⟨[2]⟩ & • Lagrange's Theorem. (iii) [3]² = [9] = [2], [3]³ = [6], so o([3]) = 6. (Why?) [Lagrange's Thm]

I. $|\mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times}| = |\mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times}| = 6$. In fact, we can list the elements of each group. $\mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times} = \{[1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]\}$ $\mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times} = \{[1], [3], [5], [9], [11], [13]\}$ II. (a) If both of them are cyclic, then they are isomorphic. (Why?) (b) If one is cyclic and the other is not, then they are not isomorphic. III. Check \mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times} : (i) $[2]^{2} = [4], [2]^{3} = [1]$, so o([2]) = 3. (ii) o([4]) = 3. (Why?) $\bullet [4] \in \langle [2] \rangle \& \bullet Lagrange's Theorem.$ (iii) $[3]^{2} = [9] = [2], [3]^{3} = [6]$, so o([3]) = 6. (Why?) [Lagrange's Thm] Therefore \mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times} is cyclic (and $[3]_{7}$ is a generator). IV. Check \mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times} :

I. $|\mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times}| = |\mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times}| = 6$. In fact, we can list the elements of each group. $\mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times} = \{[1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]\}$ $\mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times} = \{[1], [3], [5], [9], [11], [13]\}$ II. (a) If both of them are cyclic, then they are isomorphic. (Why?) (b) If one is cyclic and the other is not, then they are not isomorphic. III. Check \mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times} : (i) $[2]^{2} = [4], [2]^{3} = [1]$, so o([2]) = 3. (ii) o([4]) = 3. (Why?) $\bullet [4] \in \langle [2] \rangle \& \bullet Lagrange's Theorem.$ (iii) $[3]^{2} = [9] = [2], [3]^{3} = [6]$, so o([3]) = 6. (Why?) [Lagrange's Thm] Therefore \mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times} is cyclic (and $[3]_{7}$ is a generator). IV. Check \mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times} : $[3]^{2} = [9], [3]^{3} = [27] = [13]$,

I. $|\mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times}| = |\mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times}| = 6$. In fact, we can list the elements of each group. $\mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times} = \{[1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]\}$ $\mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times} = \{[1], [3], [5], [9], [11], [13]\}$ II. (a) If both of them are cyclic, then they are isomorphic. (Why?) (b) If one is cyclic and the other is not, then they are not isomorphic. III. Check \mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times} : (i) $[2]^{2} = [4], [2]^{3} = [1]$, so o([2]) = 3. (ii) o([4]) = 3. (Why?) $\bullet [4] \in \langle [2] \rangle \& \bullet$ Lagrange's Theorem. (iii) $[3]^{2} = [9] = [2], [3]^{3} = [6]$, so o([3]) = 6. (Why?) [Lagrange's Thm] Therefore \mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times} is cyclic (and $[3]_{7}$ is a generator). IV. Check \mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times} : $[3]^{2} = [9], [3]^{3} = [27] = [13]$, so o([3]) = 6. (Why?)

I. $|\mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times}| = |\mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times}| = 6$. In fact, we can list the elements of each group. $Z_7^{\times} = \{[1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]\}$ $Z_{14}^{\times} = \{[1], [3], [5], [9], [11], [13]\}$ II. (a) If both of them are cyclic, then they are isomorphic. (Why?) (b) If one is cyclic and the other is not, then they are not isomorphic. III. Check \mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times} : (i) $[2]^2 = [4], [2]^3 = [1], \text{ so } o([2]) = 3.$ (ii) o([4]) = 3. (Why?) • $[4] \in \langle [2] \rangle \& \bullet Lagrange's Theorem.$ (iii) $[3]^2 = [9] = [2], [3]^3 = [6], \text{ so } o([3]) = 6.$ (Why?) [Lagrange's Thm] Therefore \mathbf{Z}_7^{\times} is cyclic (and [3]₇ is a generator). IV. Check \mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times} : $[3]^2 = [9], [3]^3 = [27] = [13], \text{ so } o([3]) = 6.$ (Why?) Therefore \mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times} is cyclic (and [3]₁₄ is a generator).

I. $|\mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times}| = |\mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times}| = 6$. In fact, we can list the elements of each group. $Z_7^{\times} = \{[1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]\}$ $Z_{14}^{\times} = \{[1], [3], [5], [9], [11], [13]\}$ II. (a) If both of them are cyclic, then they are isomorphic. (Why?) (b) If one is cyclic and the other is not, then they are not isomorphic. III. Check \mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times} : (i) $[2]^2 = [4], [2]^3 = [1], \text{ so } o([2]) = 3.$ (ii) o([4]) = 3. (Why?) • $[4] \in \langle [2] \rangle \& \bullet Lagrange's Theorem.$ (iii) $[3]^2 = [9] = [2], [3]^3 = [6]$, so o([3]) = 6. (Why?) [Lagrange's Thm] Therefore \mathbf{Z}_7^{\times} is cyclic (and [3]₇ is a generator). IV. Check \mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times} : $[3]^2 = [9], [3]^3 = [27] = [13], \text{ so } o([3]) = 6.$ (Why?) Therefore \mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times} is cyclic (and [3]₁₄ is a generator). V. By II. (a), we conclude that $\mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times} \cong \mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times}$.

Remark 2

Yi
Example: $\mathbf{Z}_7^{\times} \cong \mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times}$

I. $|\mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times}| = |\mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times}| = 6$. In fact, we can list the elements of each group. $Z_7^{\times} = \{[1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]\}$ $Z_{14}^{\times} = \{[1], [3], [5], [9], [11], [13]\}$ II. (a) If both of them are cyclic, then they are isomorphic. (Why?) (b) If one is cyclic and the other is not, then they are not isomorphic. III. Check \mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times} : (i) $[2]^2 = [4], [2]^3 = [1], \text{ so } o([2]) = 3.$ (ii) o([4]) = 3. (Why?) • $[4] \in \langle [2] \rangle \& \bullet Lagrange's Theorem.$ (iii) $[3]^2 = [9] = [2], [3]^3 = [6]$, so o([3]) = 6. (Why?) [Lagrange's Thm] Therefore \mathbf{Z}_7^{\times} is cyclic (and [3]₇ is a generator). IV. Check \mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times} : $[3]^2 = [9], [3]^3 = [27] = [13], \text{ so } o([3]) = 6.$ (Why?) Therefore \mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times} is cyclic (and [3]₁₄ is a generator). V. By II. (a), we conclude that $\mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times} \cong \mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times}$.

Remark 2

 \mathbf{Z}_7^{\times} and \mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times} are both cyclic with order 6.

Example: $\mathbf{Z}_7^{\times} \cong \mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times}$

I. $|\mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times}| = |\mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times}| = 6$. In fact, we can list the elements of each group. $Z_7^{\times} = \{[1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]\}$ $Z_{14}^{\times} = \{[1], [3], [5], [9], [11], [13]\}$ II. (a) If both of them are cyclic, then they are isomorphic. (Why?) (b) If one is cyclic and the other is not, then they are not isomorphic. III. Check \mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times} : (i) $[2]^2 = [4], [2]^3 = [1], \text{ so } o([2]) = 3.$ (ii) o([4]) = 3. (Why?) • $[4] \in \langle [2] \rangle \& \bullet Lagrange's Theorem.$ (iii) $[3]^2 = [9] = [2], [3]^3 = [6]$, so o([3]) = 6. (Why?) [Lagrange's Thm] Therefore \mathbf{Z}_7^{\times} is cyclic (and [3]₇ is a generator). IV. Check \mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times} : $[3]^2 = [9], [3]^3 = [27] = [13], \text{ so } o([3]) = 6.$ (Why?) Therefore \mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times} is cyclic (and [3]₁₄ is a generator). V. By II. (a), we conclude that $\mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times} \cong \mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times}$.

Remark 2

 \mathbf{Z}_7^{\times} and \mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times} are both cyclic with order 6. They are both isomorphic to \mathbf{Z}_6 ,

Example: $\mathbf{Z}_7^{\times} \cong \mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times}$

I. $|\mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times}| = |\mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times}| = 6$. In fact, we can list the elements of each group. $Z_7^{\times} = \{ [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6] \}$ $Z_{14}^{\times} = \{ [1], [3], [5], [9], [11], [13] \}$ II. (a) If both of them are cyclic, then they are isomorphic. (Why?) (b) If one is cyclic and the other is not, then they are not isomorphic. III. Check \mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times} : (i) $[2]^2 = [4], [2]^3 = [1], \text{ so } o([2]) = 3.$ (ii) o([4]) = 3. (Why?) • $[4] \in \langle [2] \rangle \& \bullet Lagrange's Theorem.$ (iii) $[3]^2 = [9] = [2], [3]^3 = [6]$, so o([3]) = 6. (Why?) [Lagrange's Thm] Therefore \mathbf{Z}_7^{\times} is cyclic (and [3]₇ is a generator). IV. Check \mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times} : $[3]^2 = [9], [3]^3 = [27] = [13], \text{ so } o([3]) = 6.$ (Why?) Therefore \mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times} is cyclic (and [3]₁₄ is a generator). V. By II. (a), we conclude that $\mathbf{Z}_{7}^{\times} \cong \mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times}$.

Remark 2

 \mathbf{Z}_7^{\times} and \mathbf{Z}_{14}^{\times} are both cyclic with order 6. They are both isomorphic to \mathbf{Z}_6 , and therefore they are isomorphic to each other.