

## §2.3 Permutations

Shaoyun Yi

MATH 546/701I

University of South Carolina

Spring 2022

- $(a, b) \& [a, b] \dashrightarrow (a, b) \cdot [a, b] = ab$
- $(a, b) |$  any linear combination  $am + bn$  of  $a$  and  $b$
- Division algorithm  $\dashrightarrow$  Euclidean algorithm (matrix form)
- $(a, b) = 1 \Leftrightarrow am + bn = 1$  for some  $m, n \in \mathbf{Z}$
- $a \equiv b \pmod{n} \Leftrightarrow n | (a - b)$
- Divisor of zero and Unit in  $\mathbf{Z}_n$
- $ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$  has a solution  $\Leftrightarrow (a, n) | b$
- Find  $[a]^{-1}$  for  $[a] \in \mathbf{Z}_n^\times$ : (i) Euclidean algorithm (ii) successive powers
- Euler's totient function  $\varphi(n) = \#\{a: (a, n) = 1, 1 \leq a \leq n\} = |\mathbf{Z}_n^\times|$

# Permutations

Let  $S$  be a set. A function  $\sigma : S \rightarrow S$  is called a **permutation** of  $S$  if  $\sigma$  is one-to-one and onto. Denote  $\text{Sym}(S)$  by the set of all permutations of  $S$ .

- 1) If  $\sigma, \tau \in \text{Sym}(S)$ , then  $\tau\sigma \in \text{Sym}(S)$ .
- 2)  $1_S \in \text{Sym}(S)$ .
- 3) If  $\sigma \in \text{Sym}(S)$ , then  $\sigma^{-1} \in \text{Sym}(S)$ .

The set of all permutations of the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  will be denoted by  $S_n$ .

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ \sigma(1) & \sigma(2) & \cdots & \sigma(n) \end{pmatrix} \in S_n$$

If  $S = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $\sigma : S \rightarrow S$  is given by  $\sigma(1) = 2, \sigma(2) = 3, \sigma(3) = 1$ , so

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in S_3.$$

$S_n$  has  $n!$  elements.

**Proof:**  $n$  choices for  $\sigma(1)$  . . . . .  $\Rightarrow |S_n| = n \cdot (n-1) \cdots 2 \cdot 1 = n!$   $\square$

# Composition and Inverse in $S_n$

$\sigma, \tau \in S_n$ : The **composition**  $\sigma\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ \sigma(\tau(1)) & \sigma(\tau(2)) & \cdots & \sigma(\tau(n)) \end{pmatrix}$

Let  $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Compute  $\sigma\tau$  and  $\tau\sigma$ .

$$\sigma\tau(1) : 1 \xrightarrow{\tau} 2 \xrightarrow{\sigma} 3 \Rightarrow \sigma\tau(1) = 3, \text{ etc.} \Rightarrow \sigma\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\tau\sigma(1) : 1 \xrightarrow{\sigma} 4 \xrightarrow{\tau} 1 \Rightarrow \tau\sigma(1) = 1, \text{ etc.} \Rightarrow \tau\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 4 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Given  $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ \sigma(1) & \sigma(2) & \cdots & \sigma(n) \end{pmatrix}$  in  $S_n$ , to compute  $\sigma^{-1}$ :

**Idea:** Turning the two rows of  $\sigma$  upside down and then rearranging terms

$$\text{If } \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ then } \sigma^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

## Another notation

For example, consider  $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 3 & 1 & 4 & 2 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \in S_5$ . We write  $\sigma = (1342)$ . Observe that  $\sigma(1) = 3$ ,  $\sigma(3) = 4$ ,  $\sigma(4) = 2$ , and  $\sigma(2) = 1$  & Omit (5).

Let  $S$  be a set, and let  $\sigma \in \text{Sym}(S)$ . Then  $\sigma$  is called a **cycle of length  $k$**  if there exist elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in S$  such that

- $\sigma(a_1) = a_2$ ,  $\sigma(a_2) = a_3$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $\sigma(a_{k-1}) = a_k$ ,  $\sigma(a_k) = a_1$ , and
- $\sigma(x) = x$  for all other elements  $x \in S$  with  $x \neq a_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

$\rightsquigarrow$  Write  $\sigma = (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k)$ . We can also write  $\sigma = (a_2 a_3 \cdots a_k a_1)$ , etc.

$\rightsquigarrow$  A cycle of length  $k \geq 2$  can be written in  $k$  different ways, depending on the starting point.

**Convention:** We will use (1) to denote the identity permutation  $1_S$ .

### Example 1

$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 3 & 2 & 4 & 1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \in S_5$ , then  $\sigma = (134)$  is a cycle of length 3.

$\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 3 & 5 & 4 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \in S_5$ , then  $\tau = (134)(25)$  is not a cycle.

### Example 2

Let  $\sigma = (1425)$  and  $\tau = (263)$  be cycles in  $S_6$ . Compute the product  $\sigma\tau$ .

$$1 \xrightarrow{\tau} 1 \xrightarrow{\sigma} 4 \quad \Rightarrow \sigma\tau(1) = 4, \text{ etc.} \quad \Rightarrow \sigma\tau = (1425)(263) = (142635)$$

It is **not** true in general that the product of two cycles is again a cycle.

### Example 3

Consider  $(1425) \in S_6$ , we have  $(1425)(1425) = (12)(45)$ .

# Disjoint Cycles

Let  $\sigma = (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k)$  and  $\tau = (b_1 b_2 \cdots b_m)$  be cycles in  $\text{Sym}(S)$  for a set  $S$ . Then  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are said to be **disjoint** if  $a_i \neq b_j$  for all  $i, j$ .

(12) and (45) are disjoint in  $S_6$ ; but (1425) and (263) are **not** disjoint in  $S_6$

If  $\sigma\tau = \tau\sigma$ , then we say that  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  **commute**.

In general,  $\sigma\tau \neq \tau\sigma$ . eg., In  $S_3$ ,  $(12)(13) = (132)$ , but  $(13)(12) = (123)$ .

Let  $S$  be any set. If  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are disjoint cycles in  $\text{Sym}(S)$ , then  $\sigma\tau = \tau\sigma$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\sigma = (a_1 \cdots a_k)$  and  $\tau = (b_1 \cdots b_m)$  be disjoint.

If  $i < k$ , then  $\sigma\tau(a_i) = \sigma(a_i) = a_{i+1} = \tau(a_{i+1}) = \tau(\sigma(a_i)) = \tau\sigma(a_i)$ .

If  $i = k$ , then  $\sigma\tau(a_k) = \sigma(a_k) = a_1 = \tau(a_1) = \tau(\sigma(a_k)) = \tau\sigma(a_k)$ .

If  $j < m$ , then  $\sigma\tau(b_j) = \sigma(b_{j+1}) = b_{j+1} = \tau(b_j) = \tau(\sigma(b_j)) = \tau\sigma(b_j)$ .

If  $j = m$ , then  $\sigma\tau(b_m) = \sigma(b_1) = b_1 = \tau(b_m) = \tau(\sigma(b_m)) = \tau\sigma(b_m)$ .

For any  $c$  not appearing in either cycle, we have  $\sigma\tau(c) = c = \tau\sigma(c)$ .  $\square$

# Product

Taking the composition of  $\sigma \in \text{Sym}(S)$  with itself  $i$  times:  $\sigma^i = \sigma\sigma \cdots \sigma$   
Define  $\sigma^0 := (1) = 1_S$  and  $\sigma^{-n} := (\sigma^n)^{-1}$ . For all integers  $m, n$ , we have

$$\sigma^m \sigma^n = \sigma^{m+n} \quad \text{and} \quad (\sigma^m)^n = \sigma^{mn}.$$

Every permutation  $\sigma \in S_n$  can be written as a product of **disjoint** cycles.  
And the cycles of length  $\geq 2$  that appear in the product are unique.

**Proof:** Consider  $\sigma^0(1) = 1, \sigma(1), \sigma^2(1), \dots$ : Since  $S$  has only  $n$  elements, we can find the **least positive** exponent  $r$  such that

$$\sigma^r(1) = 1.$$

Then  $1, \sigma(1), \dots, \sigma^{r-1}(1)$  are all distinct, giving us a cycle of length  $r$ :

$$(1 \ \sigma(1) \ \sigma^2(1) \ \cdots \ \sigma^{r-1}(1)). \quad (*)$$

If  $r < n$ , let  $a$  be the least integer **not** in  $(*)$  and form the cycle

$$(a \ \sigma(a) \ \sigma^2(a) \ \cdots \ \sigma^{s-1}(a)),$$

where  $s$  is the least positive integer such that  $\sigma^s(a) = a$ .

If  $r + s < n$ , we continue in this way until we have exhausted  $S$ . □



### Example 4

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 5 & 2 & 7 & 6 & 3 & 8 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix} = (1537)(468)$$

### Example 5

Let  $\sigma = (25143)$  and  $\tau = (462)$  be in  $S_6$ . Then we have  $\sigma\tau = (1465)(23)$ .

# Order of a Permutation

If  $\sigma = (a_1 \cdots a_m)$  is a cycle of length  $m$ , then  $\sigma^m(a_i) = a_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Thus  $\sigma^m = (1)$ . And  $m$  is the **smallest positive** power of  $\sigma$  that equals  $(1)$ .

The least positive integer  $m$  such that  $\sigma^m = (1)$  is called the **order** of  $\sigma$ .

In particular, a cycle of length  $m$  has order  $m$ .

Let  $\sigma \in S_n$  have order  $m$ . Then  $\sigma^i = \sigma^j$  if and only if  $i \equiv j \pmod{m}$ .

**Proof:** ( $\Rightarrow$ )  $\sigma^{i-j} = (1)$ , write  $i - j = mq + r$  with  $0 \leq r < m$ . So

$$(1) = \sigma^{mq+r} = (\sigma^m)^q \sigma^r = \sigma^r \Rightarrow r = 0. \text{ [Why?]}$$

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Write  $i = j + mk$  with  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Hence  $\sigma^i = \sigma^{j+mk} = \sigma^j$ .  $\square$

Let  $\sigma \in S_n$  be written as a product of **disjoint** cycles. Then the order of  $\sigma$  is the **least common multiple** of the lengths (orders) of its **disjoint** cycles.

e.g.,  $(1537)(284)$  has order 12 in  $S_8$ .  $(153)(284697)$  has order 6 in  $S_9$ .

## Inverse (revisited)

We merely reverse the order of the cycle to compute the inverse of a cycle:

$$(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_r)(a_r a_{r-1} \cdots a_1) = (1)$$

e.g., Let  $\sigma = (1537) \in S_8$ . Then  $\sigma^{-1} = (7351) = (1735)$ .

The inverse of the product  $\sigma\tau$  of two permutations is  $\tau^{-1}\sigma^{-1}$ .

**Proof:**  $(\sigma\tau)(\tau^{-1}\sigma^{-1}) = \sigma(\tau\tau^{-1})\sigma^{-1} = \sigma\sigma^{-1} = (1)$ . □

Thus for two cycles  $(a_1 \cdots a_r)$  and  $(b_1 \cdots b_m)$  we have

$$[(a_1 \cdots a_r)(b_1 \cdots b_m)]^{-1} = (b_m \cdots b_1)(a_r \cdots a_1).$$

Moreover, if these two cycles are disjoint, then they commute. And so

$$[(a_1 \cdots a_r)(b_1 \cdots b_m)]^{-1} = (b_m \cdots b_1)(a_r \cdots a_1) = (a_r \cdots a_1)(b_m \cdots b_1).$$

### Example 6

$$\sigma = (123), \tau = (456): (\sigma\tau)^{-1} = (654)(321) = (321)(654) = (132)(465)$$

# Transposition

A cycle  $(a_1 a_2)$  of length two is called a **transposition**.

Any  $\sigma \in S_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) can be written as a product of transpositions.

**Proof:** Since any  $\sigma \in S_n$  can be expressed as a product of **disjoint** cycles.

$\rightsquigarrow$  To show that any cycle can be expressed as a product of transpositions.

The identity  $(1) = (12)(21)$ . For any other  $\sigma \neq (1)$ , we have

$$(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{r-1} a_r) = (a_{r-1} a_r)(a_{r-2} a_r) \cdots (a_3 a_r)(a_2 a_r)(a_1 a_r) \quad (\star)$$

$$= (a_1 a_2)(a_2 a_3) \cdots (a_{r-2} a_{r-1})(a_{r-1} a_r). \quad (\star\star)$$

Particularly, the way to write a product of transpositions is **not** unique.  $\square$

## Example 7

$$(25378) \stackrel{(\star)}{=} (78)(38)(58)(28) \stackrel{(\star\star)}{=} (25)(53)(37)(78)$$

$$(1) = (123) \cdot (132) \stackrel{(\star)}{=} (23)(13) \cdot (32)(12) \stackrel{(\star\star)}{=} (12)(23) \cdot (13)(32)$$

# Even/Odd Permutations

$(123) \stackrel{(*)}{=} (23)(13) \stackrel{(**)}{=} (12)(23)$ , we also have  $(123) = (12)(13)(12)(13)$ .

If a permutation is written as a product of transpositions in two ways, then the number of transpositions is either even or odd in both cases.

**Proof:** See next slide. □

A permutation  $\sigma$  is called

**even** if it can be written as a product of an **even** number of transpositions.

**odd** if it can be written as a product of an **odd** number of transpositions.

For example,  $(12)$  and  $(1234) \stackrel{(*)}{=} (34)(24)(14) \stackrel{(**)}{=} (12)(23)(34)$  are odd;

$(123)$  and  $(25378) \stackrel{(*)}{=} (78)(38)(58)(28) \stackrel{(**)}{=} (25)(53)(37)(78)$  are even;

The identity  $(1)$  is **even** since  $(1) = (12)(21)$ .

**A cycle of odd length is even. & A cycle of even length is odd.**

If  $\sigma$  is an **even** (resp. **odd**) permutation, then  $\sigma^{-1}$  is also **even** (resp. **odd**).

$\sigma \in S_n$  is either even or odd

**Proof by contradiction:** Suppose that  $\sigma$  can be both even and odd, i.e.,

$$\sigma = \tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2m} = \delta_1 \cdots \delta_{2n+1}, \quad \tau_i, \delta_j \text{ are transpositions.}$$

Observe that  $\delta_j = \delta_j^{-1}$ , we have  $\sigma^{-1} = \delta_{2n+1}^{-1} \cdots \delta_1^{-1} = \delta_{2n+1} \cdots \delta_1$ , and so

$$(1) = \sigma\sigma^{-1} = \tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2m} \delta_{2n+1} \cdots \delta_1. \Rightarrow (1) \text{ is odd.}$$

Assume  $(1) = \rho_1 \cdots \rho_k$  ( $k \geq 3$ ) has the **shortest** product of transpositions.

Assume  $\rho_1 = (ab)$ . Then  $a$  must appear in at least one other transposition, say  $\rho_i$ , with  $i > 1$ . Otherwise,  $\rho_1 \cdots \rho_k(a) = a = b$ , which is **impossible**.

*Among all products of length  $k$  that are equal to  $(1)$ , and  $\rho_1 = (ab)$ , we assume that  $\rho_1 \cdots \rho_k$  has the **fewest** number of  $a$ 's.*

Let  $a, u, v, w$  be distinct:  $(uv)(aw) = (aw)(uv)$  and  $(uv)(av) = (au)(uv)$ .

Thus we can move a transposition with entry  $a$  to the 2nd position without changing the number of  $a$ 's that appear. Say  $\rho_2 = (ac)$  with  $c \neq a$ .

If  $c = b$ , then  $\rho_1\rho_2 = (1)$ , and so  $(1) = \rho_3 \cdots \rho_k$ . (**contradiction**)

If  $c \neq b$ ,  $(ab)(ac) = (ac)(bc) \Rightarrow (1) = (ac)(bc)\rho_3 \cdots \rho_k$  (**contradiction**)