

§3.1 Definition of a Group

Shaoyun Yi

MATH 546/701I

University of South Carolina

Summer 2021

- Permutation $\sigma \in \text{Sym}(S)$ (or S_n)
- $\#|S_n| = n!$
- Composition (Product) $\sigma\tau$ & Inverse σ^{-1}
- Cycle of length k : $\sigma = (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k)$ has order k .
- **Disjoint** cycles are commutative
- $\sigma \in S_n$ can be written as a *unique* product of **disjoint** cycles.
- The order of σ is the **lcm** of the lengths (orders) of its **disjoint** cycles.
- A **transposition** is a cycle $(a_1 a_2)$ of length two.
- $\sigma \in S_n$ can be written as a product of transpositions. (NOT unique)
- **Even** Permutation & **Odd** Permutation
- **A cycle of odd length is even.** & **A cycle of even length is odd.**

Symmetry occurs frequently and in many forms in nature.

Example 1

Each coefficient of a poly. is a symmetric function of the poly.'s roots.

$$f(x) = (x - r_1)(x - r_2)(x - r_3) = x^3 + bx^2 + cx + d$$

$$r_1 + r_2 + r_3 = -b, \quad r_1r_2 + r_2r_3 + r_3r_1 = c, \quad \text{and} \quad r_1r_2r_3 = -d.$$

The coefficients remain unchanged under any permutation of the roots.

With respect to symmetry, *geometrically* the important thing is not the position of the points but the **operation** of moving them.

Similarly, w.r.t. considering *the roots of poly*, the **operation** of shifting the roots among themselves is most important and not the roots themselves.

Binary Operation

A **binary operation** $*$ on a set S is a **function**

$$* : S \times S \rightarrow S.$$

from the set $S \times S$ of **all ordered pairs** of elements in S into S .

- The operation $*$ is said to be **associative** if

$$a * (b * c) = (a * b) * c \quad \text{for all } a, b, c \in S.$$

- An element $e \in S$ is called an **identity** element for $*$ if

$$a * e = a \quad \text{and} \quad e * a = a \quad \text{for all } a \in S.$$

- If $*$ has an identity element e and $a \in S$, then $b \in S$ is an **inverse** for a if

$$a * b = e \quad \text{and} \quad b * a = e.$$

A binary operation $*$ permits us to combine only two elements, and so a priori $a * b * c$ does **not** make sense. But $(a * b) * c$ does make sense.

Examples

- i) Multiplication defines an associative binary operation on \mathbf{R} .
 - 1 serves as an **identity** element.
 - only nonzero element a has the **inverse** $1/a$.
- ii) Multiplication defines an associative binary operation on $S = \{x \in \mathbf{R} \mid x \geq 1\}$.
 - 1 serves as an **identity** element.
 - only 1 has the **inverse** 1.
- iii) Multiplication does **not** define a binary operation on $S = \{x \in \mathbf{R} \mid x < 0\}$.
- iv) Matrix multiplication defines an associative binary operation on $M_n(\mathbf{R})$.
 - the identity matrix serves as an **identity** element.
 - a matrix has a multiplicative **inverse** iff its determinant is nonzero.
- v) Matrix addition defines an associative binary operation on $M_n(\mathbf{R})$.
 - the zero matrix serves as an **identity** element.
 - each matrix has an additive **inverse**, namely, its negative.
- vi) Matrix multiplication does **not** define a binary operation on the set of nonzero matrices in $M_n(\mathbf{R})$. e.g. $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

Example: Well-definedness of a Binary Operation

Recall $\mathbf{Q} = \left\{ \frac{m}{n} \mid m, n \in \mathbf{Z}, n \neq 0 \right\}$, where $\frac{m}{n} = \frac{p}{q}$ if $mq = np$.

If $a, b \in \mathbf{Q}$ with $a = \frac{m}{n}$ and $b = \frac{s}{t}$, then we define multiplication $ab = \frac{ms}{nt}$.

To check the well-definedness of multiplication, we need to check that the product does not depend on how we choose to represent a and b .

Suppose that we also have $a = \frac{p}{q}$ and $b = \frac{u}{v}$, then we need to check

$$\frac{pu}{qv} = \frac{ms}{nt}, \quad \text{that is, } (pu)(nt) = (qv)(ms).$$

$$a = \frac{m}{n} = \frac{p}{q} \quad \Rightarrow \quad mq = np$$

$$\implies mqs v = npt u \quad \Rightarrow \quad (qv)(ms) = (pu)(nt)$$

$$b = \frac{s}{t} = \frac{u}{v} \quad \Rightarrow \quad sv = tu$$

Associative Binary Operation $*$ on a set S

- i) The operation $*$ has at most one identity element.
- ii) If $*$ has an identity element, then any element has at most one inverse.

Proof: i) Suppose e and e' are identity elements for $*$. To show $e = e'$.

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} e \text{ is an identity element} \Rightarrow e * e' = e' \\ e' \text{ is an identity element} \Rightarrow e * e' = e \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow e = e'$$

ii) e : the identity element. Let b and b' be inverses for a . To show $b = b'$.

$$b' = e * b' = (b * a) * b' = b * (a * b') = b * e = b \quad \square$$

Let e be the identity element, and a, b have inverses a^{-1} and b^{-1} . Then

iii) the inverse of a^{-1} exists and is equal to a , and

iv) the inverse of $a * b$ exists and is equal to $b^{-1} * a^{-1}$.

Proof: iii) $a * a^{-1} = e$ and $a^{-1} * a = e \Rightarrow a$ is the inverse of a^{-1} .

iv) $(a * b) * (b^{-1} * a^{-1}) = ((a * b) * b^{-1}) * a^{-1} = (a * (b * b^{-1})) * a^{-1} = (a * e) * a^{-1} = a * a^{-1} = e$. Similarly, $(b^{-1} * a^{-1}) * (a * b) = e$. \square

Group $(G, *)$

Let $(G, *)$ be a nonempty set G together with a binary operation $*$ on G . Then $(G, *)$, or just G , is called a **group** if the following properties hold.

- i) **Closure**: For all $a, b \in G$, $a * b$ is a *well-defined* element of G .
- ii) **Associativity**: For all $a, b, c \in G$, we have $a * (b * c) = (a * b) * c$.
- iii) **Identity**: There exists an **identity** element $e \in G$:
$$a * e = a \quad \text{and} \quad e * a = a \quad \text{for all } a \in G.$$
- iv) **Inverses**: For each $a \in G$ there exists an **inverse** element $a^{-1} \in G$:
$$a * a^{-1} = e \quad \text{and} \quad a^{-1} * a = e.$$

From previous slide: e is unique and $(a^{-1})^{-1} = a$. $\rightsquigarrow a = b \Leftrightarrow a^{-1} = b^{-1}$

A group is a nonempty set G with an **associative** binary operation $*$, s.t. G contains an **identity** element e , and each element has an **inverse** in G .

- \mathbf{R}^\times is a group under the standard multiplication. i) ✓ ii) ✓ iii) ✓ iv) ✓
Similarly, \mathbf{Q}^\times and \mathbf{C}^\times are groups under the standard multiplication.
- \mathbf{R} is **not** a group under the standard multiplication. i) ✓ ii) ✓ iii) ✓ iv) ✗

Symmetric Group

Recall: The set of all permutations of a set S is denoted by $\text{Sym}(S)$.

The set of all permutations of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is denoted by S_n .

The $\text{Sym}(S)$ is a group under the operation of composition of functions.

Let $f, g \in \text{Sym}(S)$ be any two one-to-one and onto functions.

i) **Closure:** $f \circ g \in \text{Sym}(S)$

ii) **Associativity:** \circ is associative.

iii) **Identity:** the identity function 1_S

iv) **Inverses:** f is 1-1 and onto \Leftrightarrow the inverse function f^{-1} is 1-1 and onto

The group $\text{Sym}(S)$ is called the **symmetric group** on S , and

The group S_n is called the **symmetric group of degree n** .

$\sigma \in S_n$: $\sigma^0 := (1)$ and $\sigma^{-n} := (\sigma^n)^{-1} \rightsquigarrow \sigma^m \sigma^n = \sigma^{m+n}$, $(\sigma^m)^n = \sigma^{mn}$, $m, n \in \mathbf{Z}$

For $a \in G$ and $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$, we define a^n as σ^n and $a^0 := e$, $a^{-n} := (a^n)^{-1}$.

Then $a^m * a^n = a^{m+n}$ and $(a^m)^n = a^{mn}$ for all $m, n \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Example: Multiplication Table for S_3

\circ	(1)	(123)	(132)	(12)	(13)	(23)
(1)	(1)	(123)	(132)	(12)	(13)	(23)
(123)	(123)	(132)	(1)	(13)	(23)	(12)
(132)	(132)	(1)	(123)	(23)	(12)	(13)
(12)	(12)	(23)	(13)	(1)	(132)	(123)
(13)	(13)	(12)	(23)	(123)	(1)	(132)
(23)	(23)	(13)	(12)	(132)	(123)	(1)

- In each row, each element in S_3 occurs **exactly once**.
- In each column, each element in S_3 occurs **exactly once**.

This phenomenon occurs in any such group table. [Why?] [cancellation law](#)

Cancellation law for Groups

From now on, we drop the notation $a * b$, and simply write ab instead.

Let G be a group, and let $a, b, c \in G$.

i) If $ab = ac$, then $b = c$.

ii) If $ac = bc$, then $a = b$.

Proof: The proof of ii) is similar to that of i):

$$ab = ac \Rightarrow a^{-1}(ab) = a^{-1}(ac) \Rightarrow (a^{-1}a)b = (a^{-1}a)c \Rightarrow eb = ec \Rightarrow b = c \quad \square$$

Let G be a group and $a, b \in G$. Then $(ab)^2 = a^2b^2$ if and only if $ba = ab$.

Proof: (\Rightarrow): By $(ab)(ab) = (ab)^2 \stackrel{!}{=} a^2b^2 = (aa)(bb)$, we have

$$a(b(ab)) = a(a(bb)) \Rightarrow b(ab) = a(bb) \Rightarrow (ba)b = (ab)b \Rightarrow ba = ab$$

(\Leftarrow): $(ab)^2 = (ab)(ab) = a(b(ab)) = a((ba)b) \stackrel{!}{=} a((ab)b) = a(a(bb)) = (aa)(bb)$

There is no worry about “()” by the associative law for the operation.

Proof: (\Rightarrow): $abab = aabb \rightsquigarrow ba = ab$; (\Leftarrow): $ba = ab \rightsquigarrow abab = aabb$. □

Abelian Group $(G, +)$

A group G is said to be **abelian** if $ab = ba$ for all $a, b \in G$.

In an abelian group G , the operation is very often denoted additively.

Associativity: $a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c$ for all $a, b, c \in G$.

Identity: The identity element is 0 (**zero** element): $0 + a = a + 0 = a$

Inverses: The additive inverse of a is $-a$: $a + (-a) = (-a) + a = 0$

For example, **Z, Q, R, C** are abelian groups under the ordinary addition.

(Cancellation law) Let G be an abelian group, and let $a, b, c \in G$.

$$a + b = a + c \quad (\text{Equivalently, } b + a = c + a) \quad \Rightarrow \quad b = c$$

For an abelian group G , let $a \in G$ and $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$, define $na := a + \cdots + a$.

Caution: “ na ” is **not** a multiplication in G , since n is **not** an element of G .

$0a := 0$, $(-n)a := -(na) \rightsquigarrow ma + na = (m+n)a$, $m(na) = (mn)a$ for all $m, n \in \mathbf{Z}$

Some Motivation for the Study of Groups

- i) If G is a group and $a, b \in G$, then each of the equations
 $ax = b$ and $xa = b$ has a unique solution.
- ii) If G is a nonempty set with an associative binary operation in which
 $ax = b$ and $xa = b$ have solutions for all $a, b \in G$,
then G is a group.

Group axioms are precisely the assumptions necessary to solve $ax = b$ or $xa = b$.

Proof: i) Existence: $x = a^{-1}b$ for $ax = b$ & $x = ba^{-1}$ for $xa = b$.

Uniqueness: e.g., if s, t are solutions of $ax = b$, then $as = b = at \Rightarrow s = t$.

ii) **Identity:** Let e be a solution of $ax = a$. To show $be = b$ for all $b \in G$.
Let c be a solution to $xa = b$, so $ca = b$. $\Rightarrow be = (ca)e = c(ae) = ca = b$.
Similarly, there exists e' s.t. $e'b = b$ for all $b \in G$. Therefore $e' = e'e = e$.

Inverses: Let c be a solution to $bx = e$, and let d be a solution to $xb = e$.

$$d = de = d(bc) = (db)c = ec = c. \quad (\star)$$

Thus $bc = e$ and $cb \stackrel{(\star)}{=} db = e$. In conclusion, c is an inverse for b . \square

Finite Group v.s. Infinite Group

A group G is called a **finite group** if G has a finite number of elements. In this case, the number of elements is called the **order** of G , denoted by $|G|$. If G is not finite, it is said to be an **infinite group**; e.g., $(\mathbf{Z}, +)$.

\mathbf{Z}_n is an **abelian** group under addition of congruence classes for $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$. The group \mathbf{Z}_n is finite and $|\mathbf{Z}_n| = n$.

Closure: $[a] + [b] = [a + b]$ is well-defined & $[a + b] \in \mathbf{Z}_n$ for $[a], [b] \in \mathbf{Z}_n$.

Associative: $([a] + [b]) + [c] = [(a + b) + c] = [a + (b + c)] = [a] + ([b] + [c])$.

Commutative: $[a] + [b] = [a + b] = [b + a] = [b] + [a]$.

Identity: $[0] + [a] = [a] + [0] = [a + 0] = [a]$.

Inverses: $[-a] + [a] = [a] + [-a] = [a - a] = [0]$.

For each $a \in \mathbf{Z}$, $[a] = [r]$ for a unique $r \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $0 \leq r < n$. $\Rightarrow |\mathbf{Z}_n| = n$

Q: Is it still true for multiplication \cdot , i.e., is \mathbf{Z}_n an abelian group under \cdot ?

A: No! ($[a]$ has a multiplicative inverse in \mathbf{Z}_n if and only if $(a, n) = 1$.)

\mathbf{Z}_n^\times : Group of Units Modulo n

\mathbf{Z}_n^\times is an **abelian** group under multiplication of congruence classes for $n \geq 1$.
The group \mathbf{Z}_n^\times is finite and $|\mathbf{Z}_n^\times| = \varphi(n)$.

Closure: $[a] \cdot [b] = [ab]$ is well-defined & $[ab] \in \mathbf{Z}_n^\times$ for $[a], [b] \in \mathbf{Z}_n^\times$.

Associative: $([a] \cdot [b]) \cdot [c] = [(ab)c] = [a(bc)] = [a] \cdot ([b] \cdot [c])$.

Commutative: $[a] \cdot [b] = [ab] = [ba] = [b] \cdot [a]$.

Identity: $[1] \cdot [a] = [a] \cdot [1] = [a]$.

Inverses: $[a]$ has a multiplicative inverse $[a]^{-1} \Leftrightarrow (a, n) = 1$, i.e., $[a] \in \mathbf{Z}_n^\times$.

We have already seen $|\mathbf{Z}_n^\times| = \varphi(n)$, where $\varphi(n)$ is Euler's φ -function. \square

Revisit Solving Linear Congruence

$ax \equiv b \pmod{n} \rightsquigarrow a_1x \equiv b_1 \pmod{n_1}$ [divide both sides by $d = (a, n)$]

$\rightsquigarrow [a_1]_{n_1}[x]_{n_1} = [b_1]_{n_1} \rightsquigarrow [x]_{n_1} = [a_1]_{n_1}^{-1}[b_1]_{n_1}$ [need to find $[a_1]_{n_1}^{-1}$ in $\mathbf{Z}_{n_1}^\times$]

$\rightsquigarrow d$ distinct solutions **modulo n** : $x + kn_1 \pmod{n}$, i.e., $[x + kn_1]_n$

Example: Multiplication Table of \mathbf{Z}_8^\times

$\cdot []$	[1]	[3]	[5]	[7]
[1]	[1]	[3]	[5]	[7]
[3]	[3]	[1]	[7]	[5]
[5]	[5]	[7]	[1]	[3]
[7]	[7]	[5]	[3]	[1]

- In each row, each element of the group occurs **exactly once**.
- In each column, each element of the group occurs **exactly once**.
- The table is **symmetric** w.r.t. the diagonal since $(\mathbf{Z}_8^\times, \cdot [])$ is **abelian**.

Examples from Matrices

$M_n(\mathbf{R})$ forms a group under matrix addition.

closure: ✓; **associativity:** ✓; **identity:** zero matrix; **inverses:** its negative

Moreover, $(M_n(\mathbf{R}), +)$ is abelian.

Q: Is there a matrix group under matrix multiplication? **A: Yes!**

$GL_n(\mathbf{R}) := \{A \in M_n(\mathbf{R}) : A \text{ is invertible, i.e., } \det(A) \neq 0\}$ is a group under matrix multiplication, called the **general linear group** of degree n over \mathbf{R} .

Closure: well-defined (by definition) & $\det(AB) = \det(A) \det(B)$

Associativity: *you should already see the proof in linear algebra course.*

Identity: the identity matrix I_n

Inverses: A has a multiplicative inverse $A^{-1} \Leftrightarrow \det(A) \neq 0$ i.e. $A \in GL_n(\mathbf{R})$

However, $(GL_n(\mathbf{R}), \cdot)$ is **not** abelian.

Conjugacy

R is an **equivalence relation** if and only if for all $a, b, c \in S$ we have

Reflexive law: $a \sim a$;

Symmetric law: if $a \sim b$, then $b \sim a$;

Transitive law: if $a \sim b$ and $b \sim c$, then $a \sim c$.

Let G be a group and let $x, y \in G$. Write $x \sim y$ if there exists an element $a \in G$ such that $y = axa^{-1}$. In this case we say that y is a **conjugate** of x . In particular, the relation \sim defines an equivalence relation on G .

Reflexive law: $x = exe^{-1}$ for all $x \in G \Rightarrow x \sim x$.

Symmetric law: $y = axa^{-1} \Rightarrow x = a^{-1}ya$, that is, $x \sim y$ implies $y \sim x$.

Transitive law: $y = axa^{-1}, z = byb^{-1} \Rightarrow z = baxa^{-1}b^{-1} = (ba)x(ba)^{-1}$
i.e., $x \sim y$ and $y \sim z$ implies $x \sim z$. \square